The Sword Of Truth

The Roman Catholic Letters

Mary R. Lejeune,

The Sword of Truth October, 1971

The Mitred Judases vs. The Holy Ghost"

For some years now, I have been questioning the "meaningful" and relevant" changes brought about by Vatican Council II—especially regarding the Liturgy. In the beginning, I was told, by those who should have known better, that the changes were "only minor," (the word "innocuous" was most frequently used.).

Bear in mind that these so-called "innocuous" changes were the elimination of established practices such as The Last Gospel (Divinity of Christ), The Leonine Prayers after Mass (which Leo XIII had ordered said after he had had a vision of the devil being loosed upon the world and the Holy Church in danger), and the Prayers for Russia that she might be converted from atheism (order by Pope Pius XI).

The above practices were wiped out at Vatican Council II with one little sentence, and I quote:

The Last Gospel is omitted; the Leonine Prayers are suppressed."

No explanation was given; nobody asked for one and I needed none.

With that sentence, the Jewish leaders were given the signal that we were ready to do business with them on their terms—"He came unto His own, and His own received Him Not" would no longer prick their guilty consciences (and events since then have proven this); the glorious prayers to God, His Mother and the Archangel Michael, for protection of the Church from her enemies, were lost to the Church, and the battle for souls was launched! The Holy Ghost, seeing the danger to the Church, sent her into eclipse behind the

Crucified Body of Our Lord so that they would not be able to harm her. The "Mitred Judases" were left on their own to do their worst and they did—they set up a "counterfeit" church in her place; but the True Church was safe and she would rise, undefiled, another day! It was then that the "light" started going out from the world and men, saturated with pride and their insatiable desire for "reform," started to go insane!

I have posed many questions to priests and religious regarding the "workings of the Holy Ghost. As I questioned, I was told that, as long as the pope approved the changes, the Holy Ghost would be guiding the Church since the Holy Ghost <u>always</u> guided the Vicar of Christ. The pope, for this reason, could not err, they said. Well, I knew enough about the history of the Church and the way in which the Holy Ghost "works" to know that just because a Cardinal becomes pope, it does not mean that God at that moment took away said pope's free will; and that, if a pope refused to listen to the "whisperings" of the Holy Ghost (and depended on his own self-sufficiency he could <u>certainly</u> err like the rest of us sinners.

The Holy Ghost could not contradict all the inspirations that He had given to over 250 popes, and all the dogmatic councils of the Church, by reversing all those teachings at Vatican Council II which was presented to the people under the name of a "pastoral" council in which none of the dogmas would be changed!

Anyone who says that the Holy Ghost could cause confusion among the Faithful and crises of consciences among His holy priests (which was the result of Vatican Council II), utters outright blasphemy!

One of the first changes was the replacing of the Altar of Sacrifice with the Protestant "table," and then came the constant reference to "the meal." This was clearly <u>against</u> the teachings of <u>all</u> the former councils and popes. Pope Pius XII had stated clearly, "He who says that the Altar should be replaced with the table, travels the <u>wrong</u> path.' Remember

that he was the pope who said that we should be 100% Catholic.

The setting up of the "table" changed the whole appearance of our once-beautiful churches and forced the priests to turn their backs on Our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ in the Holy Tabernacle. These were "innocuous" changes, my friends? No, it only seemed that way to those who were not watching the renegades and listening attentively to the "silver-tongues" engaging in neo-moldernistic "doubletalk."

The Vatican Council II documents can only be described as "strange." Whole documents cancel out <u>other</u> whole documents. And then there are the sentences, most of which contain phrases separated by little semi-colons. Those little semi-colons are the key to all the confusion—and ultimate destruction. Let me explain, as follows:

The first part of the sentence is usually sound tradition (the conservatives not to be confused with traditionalists used this part to get a point across), and then came that little semi-colon, followed by a contradictory statement (the "liberals" used this part to get their point across). The semi-colon was usually followed by the words, "nevertheless," "but in order to," etc.leaving the door open to innovations of all kinds. And this is why "dialogs" hit dead ends and why, today, you have an unholy "mess" in your churches instead of the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass restored, after the Protestant Reformation, by St. Pius V in his Bull Quo Primum!

Now, you might ask, where were the people while all this was going on? Very few bothered to purchase and read the Vatican Council II documents. Then they had a lot of other earthshaking decisions to make, such as, (1) which college they would send their kids to, (2) what make car they would buy that year, and (3), where they would spend their next vacation; the people had long since joined forces with the secular world—they were ripe for the brainwashing which was to come. They stood, sat and sang (anything) and, for the most part, did everything they were told to do. Nobody told them about the Church's teaching regarding "Lex Credendi, Lex Orandi"—(the law of Belief is the Law of Prayer). The people were praying like non-Catholics and it wasn't long before they began to believe as such and, little by little, their minds were dulled to the true teachings. The so-called "Adult Education" courses, which were to come upon the scene later, erased whatever beliefs remained. The people had become absorbed into the "community" church of the new "people of God." Their lives did not change physically, but their souls, God help them, were in the utmost jeopardy. All this because the "Mitred Judases" perpetuated a lie, spawned in Vatican Council II. The "innocuous" changes had become dogmatic changes and the people became members of the new, "counterfeit" church!

Excepts from the Encyclical PASCENDI DOM GREGIS, Errors of the Modernists by Pope St. Pius X

St. Pius X wrote the above encyclical to warn the Faithful against the "Sillon" movement prevailing in his time, as he sent heads rolling all over the Catholic World. It is interesting to note that the father of Giovanni Battista Montini (now Paul VI) wrote articles for the liberal newspapers glorifying the "Sillon" movement.

St. Pius X opens thusly:

"The office divinely committed to Us of feeding the Lord's flock has especially this duty assigned to it by Christ, namely, to guard with the greatest vigilance the deposit of the faith delivered to the saints, rejecting the profane novelties of words and oppositions of knowledge falsely so-called. There has never been a time when this watchfulness of the supreme pastor was not necessary to the Catholic body; for, owing to the efforts of the enemy of the human race, there have never been lacking "men speaking perverse things" (Acts xx:30), "vain talkers and seducers" (Tit. I, 10), "erring and driving into error) (2Tim. iii:13). Still it must be confessed that the number of the enemies of the cross of Christ has in these last days increased exceedingly, who are striving, by arts, entirely new and full of subtlety, to destroy the vital energy of the Church, and, if they can to overthrow utterly Christ's kingdom itself. Wherefore We may no longer be silent, lest We should seem to fail in Our most sacred duty, and lest the kindness that, in the hope of wiser counsels, We have hitherto shown them, should be attributed to forgetfulness of Our office.

GRAVITY OF THE SITUATION:

"That we make no delay in this matter is rendered necessary especially by the fact that the partisans of error are to be sought not only among the Church's open enemies; they lie hid, a thing to be deeply deplored and feared, in her very bosom and heart, and are the more mischievous, the less conspicuously they appear.

"We allude, Venerable Brethren, to many who belong to the Catholic laity, nay, and this is far more lamentable, to the ranks of the priesthood itself, who, feigning a love for the Church, lacking the firm protection of philosophy and theology, nay more, thoroughly imbued with the poisonous doctrines taught by the enemies of the Church, and lost to all sense of modesty, vaunt themselves as reformers of the Church; and forming more boldly into line of attack, assail all that is most sacred in the work of Christ, not sparing even the person of the Divine Redeemer, Whom, with sacrilegious daring, they reduce to a simple, mere man....

"Nor, indeed, will he err in accounting them the most pernicious of all the adversaries of the Church. For as We certain, the more intimate is their knowledge of her. Moreover, they lay the axe not to the branches and shoots, but to the very root, that is, to the faith and its deepest fibers.

"And having struck at this root of immortality, they proceed to disseminate poison through the whole tree, so that there is no part of Catholic truth from which they hold their hand, none that they do not strive to corrupt... none is more skillful, none more astute than they, in the employment of a thousand noxious arts; for they double the parts of rationalist and Catholic, and this so craftily that they easily lead the unwary into error; and since audacity is their chief characteristic, there is no conclusion of any kind from which they shrink or which they do not thrust forward with pertinacity and assurance. To this must be added the fact, which indeed is well-calculated to deceive souls, that they lead a life of the greatest activity, of assiduous and ardent application to every branch of learning. Finally, and this almost destroys all hope of cure, their very doctrines have given such a bent to their minds, that they disdain all authority and brook no restraint; and relying upon a false conscience they attempt to ascribe to a love of truth that which is in reality the result of pride and obstinacy."

St. Pius tells us how he tried to be kind to them and reason with them:

"But you know, Venerable Brethren, how fruitless has been Our action. They bowed their heads for a moment, but they were soon uplifted <u>more arrogantly</u> than ever. If it were a matter which concerned them alone, We might perhaps have overlooked it; but the <u>security of the Catholic name</u> is at stake. Wherefore, as to maintain it longer would be a crime, we must now break silence, in order to expose before the whole Church in their true colors those men who have assumed <u>this bad</u> disguise.

St. Pius describes the Modernists:

But since the Modernists (as they are commonly and rightly called) employ a very subtle artifice, namely, to present their doctrines without order and systematic arrangement into one whole, scattered and disjointed one from another, so as to appear to be in doubt and uncertainty, while they are in reality firm and steadfast...every Modernist sustains and comprises within himself many personalities; he is a philosopher, a believer, a theologian, an historian, a critic, an apologist, a reformer. These roles must be clearly distinguished from one another by all who would accurately know their system and thoroughly comprehend the principles and the consequences of their doctrines.... What do they say about dogma?

have said, they put their designs for her ruin into operation <u>not</u> <u>from without</u> but <u>from within</u>; hence, the danger is present <u>in</u> <u>the very veins and heart of the Church</u>, whose injury is more

"Dogma is not only able, but <u>ought to evolve and to be changed</u>...Blind that they are, and <u>leaders of the blind</u>, inflated with a boastful science, since they have reached that <u>pitch of folly</u> where they <u>pervert the eternal concept of truth</u> and the true nature of the religious sentiment; with that <u>system of theirs</u> they are seen to be under the sway of a blind and <u>unchecked passion for novelty</u>, thinking not at all of finding some solid foundation of truth but <u>despising the holy and apostolic traditions</u>, they embrace other vain, futile, <u>uncertain</u> doctrines, <u>condemned by the Church</u>, on which, in the height of their vanity, they think they can rest and main truth itself.

Paul VI, and his renegades in the Vatican, are out-and-out "Modernists" (as I will explain in future issues of Sword of Truth.

VATICAN COUNCIL II – Decree on Ecumenism Contradicts True Teachings

Vatican Council II:

"Most valuable for this purpose (ecumenism) are <u>meetings</u> of the two sides—especially for discussion of <u>theological problems</u>—where each can treat with the other on an <u>equal</u> footing.) (emphasis added)

<u>Pope Pius XI taught the following in his Encyclical Mortalium Animos:</u>

"With this object, congresses, meetings, and addresses are arranged, where all <u>without distinction</u> are invited to join in the discussion. No such efforts can meet with any kind of approval <u>among Catholics</u>. They pre-suppose the <u>erroneous view</u> that <u>all religions</u> are more or less good and praiseworthy.... Those who hold such a view not only are in error; they distort the true idea of religion, <u>and thus reject it</u>, falling gradually into naturalism and atheism. To favour this opinion, therefore, <u>and to encourage</u> such undertakings" (Paul VI clearly did so) "is tantamount to abandoning the religion revealed by God."

"This being so, it is clear that the <u>Apostolic See</u>" (hear that!) can by <u>no means</u> take part in these assemblies, nor is it <u>in any way lawful</u> for Catholics to give such enterprises the <u>encouragement or support</u>. If they did so, they would be giving countenance to a false Christianity <u>quite alien</u> to the one Church of Christ. Shall we commit the iniquity of suffering the truth, the truth revealed by God, to be made a

<u>subject for compromise</u>? For it is indeed a question of defending revealed truth."

Pope Leo XIII, Encyclical Immortale Dei:

"The Church, indeed, <u>deems it unlawful</u> to place the various forms of <u>divine worship</u> on the <u>same footing</u> as the true religion."

Canon Law, c. 1258:

"Worship in common with non-Catholics in any 'active' manner is <u>strictly and simply forbidden</u> under pain of mortal sin." (This is what is known as "communicatio in sacris," ignored completely by Vatican Council II.

Vatican Council II:

"Some worship in common, given suitable circumstances" (?) "and the approval of the church authority" (the "counterfeit" church, M.L.) "is not only possible but is to be encouraged." (emphasis added)

The document states that this would be a "means of bearing witness to the unity of the Church" and the "sharing in the means of grace." (?) They didn't tell the people how they could obtain grace and commit a mortal sin at the same time! This should give you some idea of insanity of the "Decree on Ecumenism."

Let me continue quoting the aims:

"In order that the liturgy may <u>re-discover</u> the fullness of truth" (St. Pius V had already restored the Liturgy free from error, to be said <u>in perpetuity, M.L.</u>), "in order that the members of the People of God" (there's that <u>new label, M.L.</u>) "may express themselves, participate and understand, <u>reforms</u> and <u>adaptations</u> are <u>necessary</u>.

"To bring this about, <u>decentralization was necessary</u>. This is why the Constitution attributes certain powers to 'the different territorial episcopal assemblies'" (those confounded liturgical commissions. M.L.)

As I read from the commentary by Archbishop Guilford Young of Hobart, Australia, you will notice that they did, indeed, intend to change doctrines. I quote as follows:

"Through the Constitution on the Liturgy is essentially a 'pastoral' document, theologians will not ignore the doctrinal insights. This is all the more likely since the Church has constantly recognized the close bond linking liturgical practice and doctrine (Lex Credendi, Lex Orandi).

"Surely it would be hard to exaggerate the long-range effect on the constitution's striking emphasis on liturgical

participation of the whole community" (everybody can join in the mess! M.L.) of the faithful. And it will be years before we can weigh the missiological impact of its calm acceptance" (they knew the people, M.L.) "of the norm of cultural adaptation.

"All in all, a sober reading of the constitution suggests that we, who enacted it, may well find THAT WE WRIT LARGER THAN WE KNEW!" (emphasis added)

Indeed they did! They destroyed the Holy Mass and threw out the Holy Canon about which the <u>Council of Trent</u>, dogmatic council), states:

"If anyone says that there are errors in the Canon of the Mass and that it should, therefore, be done away with; LET HIM BE ANATHEMA!"

COUNCIL OF TRENT, Chapter 4, 22nd Session:

"Holy things must be treated in a holy way and this sacrifice is the most holy of all things. And so, that this sacrifice might be worthily and reverently offered and received, the Catholic Church many centuries ago instituted the Sacred Canon. It is free from all error and contains nothing that does not savor strongly of holiness and piety and nothing that does not raise to God the minds of those who offer the Sacrifice. For it is made up from the words of Our Lord, from apostolic traditions, and from devoid instructions of the holy pontiffs."

"By their fruits, ye shall know them." (Matt. 7:15-21). We have seen the fruits, my friends, which will bring on the "abomination of desolation" (Matt. 24:15-16) and the punishment from God upon the world.

Pray much, and God bless all of you!

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 October, 1971

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth March-April, 1973

The Unchangeable Church

Unless one is politically oriented one does not know what is happening in the spiritual world today. The heresies which have attacked the True Church in the past have all been politically motivated; today is no exception. The only difference in what is happening today in contrast to other days, is that all the heresies are now attacking the Church in unison.

Those of us who are politically-oriented know (and have always known) that from the very beginning of the so-called "renewal," there was a plot—a world-wide plot—to destroy the Catholic Church. Vatican Council II was a fraudulent "council" which had nothing to do with a spiritual "renewal;" it had everything to do with the destruction of Holy Mother Church. Anyone who does not believe, and accept the fact, that the structures of the Catholic Church have been completely infiltrated by, and are now being controlled by these destructive political forces, will keep running around in circles of confusion and fear. It has, indeed, been well said that "the truth shall set you free."

There have been volumes written with regard to the so-called "changes" in the Church—<u>inferring</u> that the Catholic Church has been <u>changed</u>. Progressives have told the people that the "changes" were necessary in order that the Church could become "more relevant" and "more meaningful" to Catholics living in the "modern world."

Conservatives (not to be confused with traditionalists) tell the people that Paul VI, because he is the "Holy Father," has <u>every right</u> to make "changes" in the Church, and that everyone had better heave-to and obey him—or else! Neither factions are following the teachings of the True Church.

It is the teaching of the True Church that she is UNCHANGEABLE! No one, not even a Pope, can CHANGE her; and none has even tried to do so until Paul VI came along. She is Christ's Church, and is as unchangeable as is He, her Founder. Now, this is just basic Catholic teaching, and it has been affirmed and re-affirmed, by the Holy Fathers of the Church, down through the centuries.

In one of my many letters to our local diocesan paper, *The Delmarva Dialog*, I remarked that it seemed to me as though the Progressives were aiming for a "matched set" of new doctrines and truths. That was quite a long time ago, and events have proven that I was absolutely right.

We have heard a lot about the "changes" in the Holy Mass, and it has all been utter nonsense. The Holy Mass can <u>never</u> be changed; it can only be <u>suppressed</u>—IN TOTO! Therefore, contrary to what most Catholics have been led to believe, the so-called "changes" did <u>not</u> eventually <u>evolve</u> into the "New Order (The Novus Ordo); the "New Order" has <u>replaced</u> the True Mass. The former is not the new form of Catholic worship (this can never be); it is the "fellowship" worship of the new "church" – the One World Church.

The True Mass cannot lend itself to any abuse. A worship which contains abuses is not the Mass, but is, simply, some other kind of worship.

New so-called "guidelines" have come down from the Vatican, with Paul VI's approval, with regard to the "Holy Sacraments." These guidelines, of course, have not <u>changed</u> the True Sacraments—they have <u>replaced</u> the True Sacraments with a new set of 'sacraments,' and the matched set becomes more matched!

The new "catechisms" (which are communist inspired) have not changed the new "ecumenical" bible (Paul VI refers to the latter in his writings), one finds that there isn't the vaguest resemblance between the two. The former follows St. Jerome's Vulgate, and its author was a great scholar who was well-versed in Hebrew, Greek and Latin; the latter was authored by Dr. Gerald Sloyan, an apostate of the first order, who doesn't even have a command of the English language, hence—the most ungrammatical of books is given birth by Dr. Sloyan and his know-nothing cohorts. Therefore, the Douay Bible has been replaced by Dr. Sloyan's "bible" (and is now being used by Paul VI and the rest of the hierarchy) which is an insult to the average intelligence.

Now, the words used have played a very important part in suppressing the True Church, and in establishing the One World Church. When the word "change" is used, it gives the impression that something remains what it formerly was despite the changes. When the word "replaced" is used, the reader, or the listener, immediately realizes that something new has come into being. It is for this reason that the word "change" has been used; the better to lead the people astray. It helped quite a bit to continue to hang onto the word "Mass," when speaking of the "New Order;" this gave the Faithful the feeling that one Mass is as good as another—as long as Paul VI, the Cardinals, the Bishops and the priests kept saying that this was so.

"When the Mass is Suppressed, the Church is Suppressed"

Having <u>replaced</u> the True Mass with the "New Order" (a masonic term), the advocates of the new brotherhood church have suppressed <u>everything</u> since the Mass is the very heart of the True Church. This is why the world is writhing in evil, darkness and confusion.

The Holy Mass is the Perfect Sacrifice—of God, the Son (the spotless Host) being offered to God, the Father; only by the offering of the spotless host can the consecration take place. God, the Father, will be satisfied with nothing less, as told in Holy Scriptures, as follows:

"For it is impossible that with the blood of oxen and goats sin should be taken away. Holocausts for sin did not please Thee." (Hebrews; Chap. 10: 4-6)

With these words, we are told that the Covenant of the Old Testament was to be replaced with the New Testament; the blood of animals was to be replaced with the Body and Blood of Jesus Christ, True God and True Man.

Continuing with the Holy Scriptures, establishing the Perfect Sacrifice of the New Testament, we hear these words; referring to the New Priesthood:

"And this is the testament which I will make unto them after those days, saith the Lord. I will give my laws in their hearts, and on their minds will I write them. And their sins and iniquities I will remember no more.

"Now where there is a <u>remission</u> of these, there is no more an oblation for sin." (Hebrews 16: 17-18)

The "New Order" is not the Perfect Sacrifice because its socalled "Offertory" is not Catholic since it follows the Jewish belief.

Just in passing, let us take a brief look at this one small part (but most important part) of what the Progessives (and Conservatives) refer to as a "change" when, in reality, it is an out-an-out replacement:

The True Catholic Offertory:

"Receive, O holy Father, Almighty and Eternal God, this spotless host, which I, Thy unworthy servant, offer to Thee, the living and true God, for my countless sins, offences and negligences, for all here present, and for all faithful Christians, whether living or dead, that it may be profitable for my own and their salvation to life everlasting. Amen."

The above beautiful prayer has been replaced by the following:

"Blessed are you (lower case 'y') God of all creation. Through your goodness we have this bread to offer, which earth has given and human hands have made. It will become for us the bread of life."

I have been a guest at the homes of quite a few Jewish families, and I recognize the "Jewish Prayer Before Meals" when I hear it—which is exactly what the above prayer really is!

Catholics have been taught by the Fathers of the Church that without the Perfect offering, the Consecration cannot take place, and any priest who is deluding himself into thinking that he is still effectuating the changing of the bread and wine into the Most Precious Body and Blood of Our Lord and Saviour, Jesus Christ, when he uses the Jewish Prayer Before Meals, has to think of himself as some sort of magician.

A priest consecrates only when he uses the right words; the right matter; and when he has the right intention. If any <u>one</u> of these three requirements is missing, the consecration does not take place. The words we use, tell God our intentions, and God certainly knows that the offering of the "spotless host" can never mean the same as offering "bread." The "Offertory" is a very important part of the Mass, and without the true "offertory," the worship becomes something other than the Mass.

Now as I write these words, I am well aware of the fact that many a Father X could take me up on this point, but this worries me not. We traditionalists are getting a little tired of having to <u>prove</u> that which we say is true; we are getting fed up with having to add sources to every statement which we write down, especially when these statements reflect the very basics of Catholic teaching. And so, if priests have any arguments on this score, let them go back and "hit the books" once more—they will soon see the truth before them.

The whole Canon of the Mass has been replaced with the optional "Eucharistic Prayers" (a Protestant term) and the "consecration" is the brain=child of a certain non-Catholic Professor Jeremias, who, by his own admission, does not believe in the Divinity of Christ. And so, in truth, the priests are not following in obedience to a Catholic hierarchy by reciting this "prayer," but are, in truth, following the orders of an unbeliever, Professor Jeremias, its author, who has sold the

hierarchy an heretical "bill of goods." There were many members of the hierarchy who did not need very much persuasion.

A priest who says the "New Order" (or whatever a "president" does) is degrading the priesthood which Christ has called "the salt of the earth." Let us see what I mean!

I refer to the new "Confession" which <u>replaced</u> the True "Confiteor," and wherein the priest <u>confesses</u> to "you, my brothers and sister." This replacement places the priest on equal footing with his "brothers and sisters," lowering the status of the former (who is the "Confessor") to the status of the former "Confessor" to the status of a <u>penitent</u> confessing to the people. Thus, the priesthood is degraded, and the laity is elevated to a stature which is not rightly its due. If the "salt has lost its flavor," it is nobody's fault but the priests' who have caused this terrible situation and, if the people have lost respect for the priesthood, the priests have only themselves to blame.

The "New Order" can be said, very comfortably, by any Protestant Minister—there is nothing discomforting to them in this "union in heresy." If my readers do not believe this, let them look around in the Protestant Churches around the country, and they will find those abominable "missalettes," the same ones which the new "people of God" use every week in our once-Catholic churches. I have calls from Episcopalians who are distressed by the fact that their "Book of Common Prayer" has been scrapped in favor of this "missalette" and well they should be distressed! Lutheran and Methodist leaders have also adopted it for their congregations. Some of us knew very well that this state of affairs would eventually come to pass, and that the only way that this plan could come to fruition would be to completely suppress the Catholic Church and to render her teachings inneffective.

The very fact that the "New Order" was authored by six liberal, non-Catholic churchmen should make the Catholics aware of the fact that this mish-mash of heresies can <u>never</u> be the Mass. The Mass was authored in Heaven, and it will always remain a heavenly worship of the Triune God.

The Locusts

With the True Church suppressed, and not being allowed to function, the "abomination of desolation" has been set up in the once-holy places and Satan is "having a ball." How he must rub his hands in glee as he witnesses those, baptized into the truth, participating in his new "service" and singing, in lusty voices, the new "hymns" of the day. Obedience to the apostates has been his weapon. Without the Holy Mass he has had the way cleared for him to fill hell with souls because without the Holy Mass the world is left pretty much on its own, and under the control of his agents.

That Satan abounds in the world today there is no doubt. He was let loose through those "open windows" (Vatican Council II), fulfilling the prophecy of St. John's Apocalypse with regard to "the locusts" (Chapter 9). If one will read the Apocalypse (Douay-Version), one will find that when certain events are to take place as punishment upon the world, the Angels of the Lord are given signals to blow their trumpets: "And the fifth Angel sounded the trumpet and I saw a star" (someone in high standing the Church) "fall from heaven" (into apostacy) "upon the earth, and there was given to him the key of the bottomless pit. And he opened the bottomless pit; and the smoke of the pit arose, as the smoke of a great furnace; and the sun" (The True Church) "and the air were darkened with the smoke of the pit. And from the smoke of the pit there came out locusts" (devils) "upon the earth. And power was given to them, as the scorpions of the earth have power."

And so, Catholics had better start choosing as to whether they wish to belong to the Catholic Church, into which they were baptized and which will bring them to salvation (even in the catacombs), or to the church of the antiChrist, the members of which will wear the mark of the Beast.

Let Catholics repeat the great prayer of the convert, Cardinal John Henry Newman:

"Lead, kindly Light, amid th' encircling gloom Lead Thou me on; The night is dark, and I am far from home, Lead Thou me on. Keep Thou my feet; I do not ask to see The distant scene; one step enough for me."

A Saint Speaks

I have just received a book entitled *The Hidden Treasure*. It is a book about the Holy Mass, its value, beauty and efficacy. Its author is the great St. Leonard. I would like to share, with my readers, his words as to what would happen in the world if ever the Holy Mass were suppressed.

Because the author is a saint of God, and because the Holy Mass is the Mass of the Saints, his words are inspired by the Holy Ghost, and can give my readers a much clearer picture of a world without the Mass than can I. Let us hear some of these beautiful words of St. Leonard:

"O treasure, how great! O treasure of love, how immense!"

"If the intrinsic wonder and glory of the Sacrifice move you not, be moved, at least, by the extreme necessity for its existence."

"If there were no sun to shine on the world, what would it be? All darkness, horror, barrenness, and misery supreme. And if there were not Holy Mass in the world? O unhappy race! We

should then be vessels empty of every good, and full of evil to the brim; we should be a mark for <u>all the thunders of the wrath of God</u>." (anathemas of former councils and former popes).

St. Leonard goes on to tell us that God was much more severe with those of the Old Testament; that God will suffer much more abuse from those of the New Testament—as long as the Holy Mass is being continuously offered up to Him:

"How comes this? Why so great a difference in government? Are, perhaps, our sins of ingratitude more excusable than those of old? Quite the contrary! They are very much more culpable, since there is the addition of benefits so immeasureable.

"The true reason of a clemency so stupendous is the Holy Mass, in which is offered to the Eternal Father the great Victim, Jesus. Behold the Sun of the Holy Church, that scatters the clouds and renders heaven again serene!"

"Behold the heavenly Rainbow, pacifying the storms of Divine Justice."

"For myself, I believe that were it not for the Holy Mass, at this moment, the world would be in the abyss, unable to bear up under the mighty load of its iniquities."

"The mass is the *potent prop* that holds the world upon its base."

And again:

"Ah, then His compassion cannot resist the sight of the most spotless innocence of Jesus, and He feels as if compelled to calm our storms, and to provide for all our necessities.

"Thus without that thrice-holy Victim, Jesus, first of all bloodily sacrificed for us upon the cross, and daily since unbloodily upon our altars it would be all at an end with us, and each might say to the other, 'We part to meet in hell."

"Well may we, therefore, kiss our altars, perfume them with incense and holy sweets; and, what is more, honor them with the utmost reverence and awe since, through them, there cometh so much good."

And to the priests, whom God has made custodians of the Holy Mass, St. Leonard speaks thusly:

"And do you, O priests, join your hands in thanksgiving to the Eternal Father for having placed you in the <u>sweet necessity</u> of often offering to Him this Victim of Paradise; and still more, thank Him for the unbounded gain which you can gather from it, <u>if you but be faithful</u>, not only in offering it, but in offering it <u>for the proper ends</u> for which He bestowed a gift so precious."

We, who are now on earth, are certainly living under the terrible conditions as described in St. Leonard's beautiful book. Almighty God has allowed this treasure to be suppressed, and its Light extinguished from the world, as a punishment on a prideful generation because too few valued it enough to fight for it, with their very lives, if necessary!

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 March, April, 1973

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth May-June, 1973

Who is Paul VI?

Catholics today, whether they realize it or not, have a host of spiritual problems confronting them; these problems must be solved if they wish to save their souls. If they are spiritually slothful, and choose not to arouse themselves from the slumbers of apathy long enough to <u>admit</u> that these problems exist, then they will place their own souls and the souls of friends and loved ones, with whom they come in contact, in dire jeopardy.

The first and foremost problem facing Catholics today is the fact that Paul VI is seated on the Throne of Peter, and demanding obedience from them as the "Vicar of Christ." If this one big problem can be faced and overcome, then all the other problems will be lessened, as far as individual souls are concerned.

First we must establish (and believe that the Holy Catholic Church is the <u>only</u> Church which teaches <u>complete</u> and <u>true</u> doctrine. Other churches were formed by <u>humans</u> who decided to choose which doctrine they would believe and which they would cast aside; thus they cut themselves off from the True Church, and set up their own churches. We know that the doctrines of the Holy Catholic Church are <u>complete</u> because Christ made sure that His followers would not be wanting for instruction. We know that the doctrines are <u>true</u> because Christ, her Founder, taught these truths to His apostles, and Christ, being God, could <u>never</u> teach error.

Whoever brings these truths into question is, in fact, saying that Christ <u>could</u> have made mistakes, and that <u>man</u> must take it upon himself to <u>correct</u> Christ. Now anyone who even

suggests that Christ's truth be questioned, cannot believe in Christ's Divinity; they are suggesting that Christ was a mere man and fallible like the rest of us. Truth is <u>absolute</u>; there aren't any "gray areas," as far as truth is concerned. Truth does not have to be questioned since truth has already been established. It is by <u>allowing</u> the truths of the Catholic Church to be questioned in study groups, commission, etc., that the seeds of heresy are sown.

During Paul VI's so-called "reign" every doctrine and truth taught by Christ as <u>absolute</u>, has been questioned, and the pros and cons "discussed." All of this, of course, has been in flagrant contradiction to Pope St. Pius's great Encyclical, *Pascendi*, wherein he spoke out against the "modernists" and the innovators."

God, because He is of Divine mind, knew very well that the faithful would, from time to time, be ruled by weak Popes, and the Church's history is replete with them; these weaknesses were, for the most part, of a personal nature. This is why the Church has been placed under the care and guidance of the Holy Ghost Who, being also God, could never guide her into error. Whenever a Pope, possessing these personal weaknesses, came into power, they were usually surrounded by holy and brilliant Cardinals who counselled them in order that these personal weaknesses would not unduly harm the Church as a whole. Paul VI evidently decided that he could depend on his own powers since he has rid himself of all the brilliant minds which formerly guided the Roman Curia, and has replaced them with men whose "innovative" minds agreed with his own.

There have been approximately 35 anti-popes who have laid claim to the Papacy down through the ages. If one will study the history of the Church, one will find that the great St. Vincent Ferrer adhered to the antipope Peter deLuna, known as Benedict XIII, sincerely believing him to be the legitimate pontiff. Let us review this period of history.

"The Great Western Schism was not in the literal sense a schism; it was rather a division of opinions as to who was the legitimate successor of St. Peter. But it was a great disaster for the Church. The papal teaching and ruling authority, divine in its origins, giving spiritual light and life to the world, no longer shone with the brilliancy with which it has beamed upon the world for a thousand years. For thirty-eight years, the voice of authority was lost in a babble of contradicting voices. Neither the faithful nor the clergy could look to the papacy as the source of light and strength, but had to deplore the confusion caused by contentions for the supreme office.

Theology, science and culture, which had reached their culmination in the 13th century, decayed; discipline was broken and relaxed, and piety declined.

The lives of the clergy no longer shone as brilliantly with learning and virtue as during the two preceding centuries.... Thus the lights of heaven were obscured, and the world was in semi-darkness during this period. There was no apostacy during this schism; no star (one in high standing in the Church) fell from heaven. But the Church lost much of her influence in directing world affairs along Christian standards, and she has never regained this lost influence."

(*Book of Destiny*— Bernard F. Leonard, *Imprimatur* Bishop Jos. M. Mueller, Bishop of Sioux City, Iowa, January 26, 1956.

Of course, St. Vincent awakened to the fact that Benedict was not a true Pope, and went on to become a great saint of the Church. The *Book of Destiny* tells us that this was the time of the 'four scourges' of the Apocalypse. The world has come a long way since then, as far as the Apocalypse is concerned.

Now, if we are <u>true</u> Catholics, we must <u>not</u> be <u>vindictive</u> when speaking of Paul VI. It matters not to us that he never attended a seminary nor that he is a product of his family background and training. It matters not to us that he is both an utopian and a pragmatist of the first order (and he <u>is</u>). What does matter to us is the <u>absolute</u> fact that we must save our own souls, and as many <u>other</u> souls as we possibly can, by adhering to the teachings of the True Church, even if it should cost us our very lives. And so, with as much charity as we can muster, considering the salvation of so many souls is at stake, let us continue.

When we speak of someone as being the Vicar of Christ, we mean that he <u>represents</u> Christ on earth and, therefore, he <u>must</u> speak and act as Christ Himself would speak and act if He were still with us here on earth.

Catholics must decide very soon whether or not they will continue to follow Paul VI's "promulgations" or whether they will try to "hold fast to the truths" by engaging in much prayer and study and by using the reasoning powers which God Almighty has given them. If Catholics pray enough, they will be given the "light" to know what to do; if they are too busy to pray (I hear this all the time), then they are too involved with things of a secular nature-things which are passing-and are ignoring the things of God which are for eternity. If Catholics will take the time to study and compare the teachings of the True Church with what is being taught today, they will find the truth; if they do not take time to study and compare, they will remain in spiritual darkness and will continue in confusion. It was St. Thomas Aquinas who stated that the "reasoning powers" have a great deal to do with coming to grips with The majority of Catholics possess a one's conscience. reasonable amount of intelligence (and many possess a very high degree of intelligence). If they choose not to use this intelligence for the things that are of God, then they are not using this intelligence for the purpose for which it was given

to them. We must return all things to God; even our very minimal talents, and He will, someday, ask us how we used these talents in bringing souls to eternity. I will allow my readers to make up their own minds about Paul VI; I will state some facts.

First, we must establish whether or not it could be possible that 285 Popes (speaking in Christ's name) could affirm and re-affirm each other's teachings on the True Church (which is Apostolic), down through the ages and then that Paul VI could come along and refute those teachings (by promulgating contradictory orders) and still be a true Pope? I hope my readers will give this much thought.

Let all those who defend Paul VI as a suffering 'holy father' find for me anywhere in Catholic history a true Pope who has worn the Ephod of Caiphas (the insignia of the High Priest) at Yankee Stadium, or of a true Pope who has carried the masonic "bent" cross. Let them find me a true Pope who has replaced the Holy Mass with a "fellowship" worship and who has replaced the Holy Sacraments with "do it yourself" rites. Let them find me a true Pope who has allowed the true catechisms to be replaced with Jewish-oriented books, whose teachings make a mockery of Christ's Passion and, indeed, all of His teachings. Let them find me a true Pope who has allowed all the doctrines and truths of the Holy Church to be "discussed" and brought into question by a bunch of miniminded spiritual con-men whose intelligence would seem to be less than average.

They will search and search and they will <u>never</u> find me such a Pope! They will never find a Pope who has stripped Cardinals of their right to vote, insinuating that these men, (who, by the way are the most learned among the Cardinals), 'because of their age have become doddering old nincompoops by whose judgment as far as choosing a Pope is concerned would be less than rational.' They will never find a Pope who would allow "interfaith" discussions, "interfaith" worship and communion and a relaxing of the divorce laws, among other things.

Let them try to find me a Pope who would make all kinds of "deals" with the enemies of the Church, or a Pope who would congratulate six liberal non-Catholic "theologians" for having done such a wonderful job of putting together <u>his</u> new "mass." If Paul VI's defenders can't find me such a Pope, then let them try to find me one who has appealed to the faithful to compromise with <u>their</u> faith so that there could come about a "union in heresy" with those of other beliefs.

Now Paul VI has done or allowed to be done all of these things. As we read and study the great Encyclicals of former Popes, and the teachings of former Councils, we find that said teachings have all been ignored, in Paul's utopian dream of a "one church," the fulfillment of said dream to be accomplished—not according to the plan in Holy Scriptures (Paul uses the 'new bible) which is the Divine Plan, but

according to the plans of humans whose messianic thrust overpowers their faith and their good sense. Let us listen to the teachings of some of the former Popes on the subject "that all may be one:"

"It will be opportune to expound and to reject a certain false opinion which lies at the root of this question and of that complex movement by which non-Catholics seek to bring about the union of Christian Churches. Those who favor this view constantly quote the words of Christ "That all may be one" and that "there shall be one fold and one Shepherd" in the sense that Christ merely expressed a desire or a prayer which as yet has not been granted. The Church, they say, is of its nature divided into sections composed of several churches (Vatican Council II teaches this exact same thing), which still remain separated, and although holding in common some articles of doctrine, nevertheless differ concerning the remainder; that all these enjoy the same right (the doctrinal differences must be set aside). These who strive for the union of the Churches would appear to pursue the noblest of ideals in promoting charity among all Christians. But John, the Apostle of Love, strictly forbade any intercourse with those professing a mutilated and corrupt form of Christ's teachings. If any man come to you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into the house, nor say to him, God speed you.' (John 11:10). It is clear why this Apostolic See has never allowed its subjects to take part in the assemblies of non-Catholics. There is but one way in which the unity of Christians may be fostered, and that is by furthering a return to the one true Church of Christ of those who are separated from it-it is chiefly by the bond of ONE FAITH that the disciples of Christ are to be united. (emphasis added)

(Encyclical *Mortalium Animos* of Pope Pius XI

Keeping in mind, the above Pope, speaking as all Popes before him have spoken (in Christ's name), let us listen to what Vatican Council II has to say, as follows:

"Promoting the restoration of unity among all Christians is one of the chief concerns of Vatican II. Undoubtedly, the differences that exist in varying degrees between them and the Catholic Church—whether in doctrine or in discipline—do indeed create obstacles to full ecclesiastical communion (we now have "inter-communion"). Nevertheless, all those justified by faith through Baptism (they don't say all who believe and are baptized") are incorporated into Christ. They therefore, have the right to be honored by the title of Christian, and are properly regarded as brothers in the Lord by the sons of the Catholic Church. (Decree on Ecumenism).

Now, of course, this is downright and incredible heresy. A Catholic is one who accepts <u>all</u> of Christ's teachings—not just a part of them. Christ, Himself, said that, "He that <u>believeth</u> and is baptized shall be saved." Now, let us listen to Pope Pius

XII, in his Encyclical, *The Mystical Body of Christ*, as follows:

"Only those are to be included as members of the Catholic Church who have been baptized and profess the true faith."

Pope Leo XIII, in his Encyclicals *Satis Cognitum* (*The Unity of the Church*) and those who would be united to Christ, gives a thorough understanding of <u>true unity</u>, and the way this true unity must be brought to fruition. His words are pure gold:

Let us hear Paul VI's words on "unity," clearly refuting the teachings of past Popes, he speaks of a <u>thought</u> that dominates him, as follows:

"What is this thought? It is the unity of the Church."

"It takes hold of us, dominates us. "Unity. Immediately it imposes itself on account of its logical and metaphysical (there he goes with his liberal lingo) force. It refers to the Church, that is to mankind" (mankind, to Paul, is the Church); "it holds us spellbound because of its theological depth" (all those discussions). "Then it torments us because of its historic aspect" (and it should) "yesterday and still today, bleeding and suffering like that of Christ crucified" (a little traditional innuendo always helps). "It reproves us and awakens us, like the sound of a trumpet, calling us with the urgency of a vocation" (his messianic thrust is showing), "which becomes relevant and characteristic in our times. The thought of unity irradiates over the world scene, scattered with the magnificent" (?) "Rent limbs and the ruins of so many churches."

What, might I ask, is so magnificent about rending the Catholic Church limb from limb—which, of course, has been the effect of his 'ecumenism?'

Then he continues:

"All of them" (those rent and ruined churches) "are now invaded by two conflicting forces, in a moving tension; one centrifugal (from the center), "fleeing in its pursuit of autonomy, toward schismatic and heretical goals (those are the traditionalists about whom he is speaking); the other centripetal," (towards the center), "demanding with reborn nostalgia" "The recomposition of unity. Motherly and fearless, Rome certainly not faultless" (not to Paul who is still searching for a perfect church), "and burdened on her own account with immense responsibility, stubbornly affirms and promotes this unity" (he said it, I didn't) "as her duty, smacking of witness and martyrdom." "It is the authentically ecumenical and unitarian force which is seeking its principal and its center."

(General Audience, January 24, 1973)

So, he moans that we traditionalists are moving away from his church, and rejoices that non-Catholics and the new "People of God" are joining forces in the center. Think very hard about this, my readers, think very hard.

Paul VI continues to speak of "unity:"

"And again it reverberates, this thought of unity, in the conscience of so many thoughtful, religious souls, raising in them a spiritual problem" (only traditionalists have this problem); "how to I respond to this imperative of unity?"

After pronouncing the words, "I believe in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church" in order to give the speech a little dash of Catholicism, he then quotes the heterodoxical words of *Lumen Gentium* of Vatican Council II, as follows:

"By her relationship with Christ, the Church is a kind of sacrament, or sign of intimate union with God, and the unity of all mankind."

And then he goes on to tell his <u>real</u> conception of "unity.:"

"I BELIEVE IN THE ONE CHURCH. Today, in fact, we are engaged in celebrating the Week of Prayer for Christian Unity, and in this particular period Christians all over the world pray to the Lord Our Father than the <u>ecclesial</u> unity which we profess in the Creed (his creed) may be realized concretely and visibly in our lives." (God has His own plans).

And again:

"Unity, which is a real gift from Christ, develops and grows in the concrete situation represented by the lives of Christian communities. Understanding of the important role of the <u>particular</u> churches, was clearly formulated by the Council." (Every little parish had to get into the act.).

Reading Paul's words and being able to digest them and understand them, is much like digesting and understanding <u>all</u> liberal writings. Liberals have a language all their own—they say the words with which we are all familiar, but the words do not <u>mean</u> the same—they must be interpreted in the light of the mind of the author of such writings. When Paul speaks of the "universal" church, the people find nothing wrong with this word since they have been taught that the Catholic Church is "universal." But Paul VI is still searching for that perfect, man-made, "universal" church which will match his own utopian dream. You do not believe me when I say that he, Paul VI, who sits on the "Throne of Peter," is still searching? Let him tell you about his search, himself:

"We moderns, trained to think" (?) "Are particularly predisposed to this mystification, this idolatry; we made every desire, every ideal abstraction of unity, of truth of goodness,—every conception, real though it may be, of happiness, power,

art, beauty and love—we make them a supreme good, an absolute that dominates us. And above man, supposing we arrive at the threshhold of the religious world, is our search finished, we repeat?"

And then we have his answer:

"No, we answer. It rather begins on a <u>new</u> plane, in a <u>new</u> kingdom."

And then he quotes some nonsense from the pen of the late Zionist Jew, Abraham Heschel

Paul VI speaks pure, unadulterated heresy:

"So the search continues. And, as you know, in an ocean of truths and mysteries. In a drama in which each one has his own part to play. This is life. Can it be exhausted in this temporal existence of ours? No. In spite of the immense light of our Catholic religion, the <u>search</u> and <u>expectation</u> of <u>further revelations</u> are <u>not complete</u>; on the contrary, <u>they are still at</u> the beginning. (Teneral Audience, January 31, 1973)

So Paul VI is still <u>searching</u> and <u>expecting further revelations</u>; he does <u>not</u> believe that Christ taught a <u>complete</u> and <u>absolutely</u> true doctrine to the apostles. Paul's search and expectations explain why he has allowed study groups to congregate and tear these <u>complete</u> and <u>absolutely true</u> doctrines to pieces. This is why he sometimes quotes the statements made by former Popes, as though he really accepted them without question, and then goes on to turn the whole subject over to some "commission."

Paul VI <u>approved</u>, without any question, every word written in the documents of Vatican Council II. And yet, we have the Bull *Execrabilis*, as written by Pope Pius II, to warn us that any council which would try to change the thinking (and beliefs) of the faithful, through its teachings, is <u>null</u> and <u>void</u>. Pope Pius II stated this in no uncertain terms—'loopholes' for misunderstanding are non-existent. Anathemas (curses) were invoked and were numerous which were to be brought down upon the heads of <u>anyone</u> who dared to promote such a council, engage in such a council, or who would promote its teachings. We see the effects in the world today.

The Vatican Council II, through its teachings, has torn Catholic consciences apart (especially those of our priests); it has made a mockery of the True Church; and has exposed the Church to ridicule through the mouths and pens of those who would destroy her. We have all seen the "fruits" of said council; this is all the proof we need that it was a fraud.

Through its teachings, Vatican Council II has replaced the true teachings of the Church with regard to Judaism, with one that is more tolerant of the feelings of Paul's Zionist friends.

Through its teachings, Vatican Council II states that the Jews living today are <u>not</u> responsible for the acts committed by their forefathers with regard to Christ. But Vatican Council II is absolutely <u>wrong</u> in this respect. The Jews <u>themselves</u> brought down the curse of God upon succeeding generations of Jewry:

"And Pilate, seeing that he prevailed nothing, but that rather a tumult was made, taking water, washed his hands before the people, saying: 'I am innocent of the blood of this just man; look you to it.' And the <u>whole</u> people answering said: 'His blood be upon us and upon our children." (Matthew: 27:25)

The late Dr. Jules Iaacs, a friend of both Paul VI and the late Cardinal Bea, was an <u>advisor</u> at Vatican Council II, and his advice greatly influenced the wording of this particular document. It was he, who, in his writings, has accused St. Matthew of being a liar, St. John Chrysostom is a delirious theologian, St. Augustine falsifies the facts and even Pope St. Gregory the Great did not escape his scurrilous pen! (*Judaism and the Vatican*, Vicomte Leon de Poncins). Paul VI approved this document on Judaism which reverses Catholic thinking on the subject. With friends such as the Drs. Abraham Heschel and Jules Isaacs, one can understand why!

Now with all of what has been said previously, the real tragedy of all this is that the Holy Mass has been suppressed and a new "rite" substituted in its place. Paul VI has approved of this because said "rite" is nothing more or less than that which he suggested while he was still Archbishop of Milan, in direct conflict with the Encyclical of Pope Pius XII entitled *Mediator Dei*. (See "A Revealing Pastoral" written by W. F. Strojie, a copy of which has formerly been sent to all my readers).

Paul VI is searching for that massive "church" wherein all would come to the center (under him) and we, the traditionalists, are a veritable thorn in his side. We, the stubborn ones, have refused to obey him; we have spoken out against him; we have told the people the truth about him; and we worry him. His lament is that, if <u>only</u> the dissidents (those moving away from the center of <u>his</u> church) would <u>try</u> his "union of heresy," they would soon find happiness. But traditionalists will have none of it.

We are what we are, and what we were from the moment of our baptism into the True Church, and Paul is not going to <u>change</u> us by dangling little traditionalist "tid-bits" before our eyes; we understand his language only too well. We do not <u>have</u> to search; we have already <u>found</u> the complete and true Church.

Paul VI has the majority—he <u>must</u> have or they wouldn't be attending his "fellowship" worship; he has, although reluctantly at times, the conservatives, (who should know better); he does not have the traditionalists.

The traditionalists have chosen to go into the "catacombs" wherein the glorious and beautiful Holy Catholic Church, her heart still beating strong with the Blood of Christ, reposes. She, and her lonely remnant, await the day when the Holy Ghost will bring her out of the eclipse into which she has been thrust—to arise more glorious and more triumphant than ever before in her history.

The healing of a few scratches on the human body does not require the services of a great surgeon; the healing of a body which has been torn limb from limb and left bleeding to death, is looked upon as something of a miracle and there is great rejoicing as the patient recovers as the result of the medical skill afforded said patient.

So, too, with the beloved Church. A few scars down through the ages required holy men and women, with God's help, to render her tender care, and she rose again each time. But now it is quite different.

The Church, herself, does not require a healing; it is the <u>souls</u> of her <u>children</u> which will require the medicine of The Divine Healer, through chastisement. Let us pray to this Divine Healer that He may make His medicine, through the merits of His Passion, less bitter than His Justice requires, and let us leave Paul VI in <u>His</u> hands, praying that God will be merciful to him.

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware May–June, 1973

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth March-April, 1974

An Insight Into The Great Apostasy

All arguments, which claim validity for the Vatican Council II, are worthless. They are worthless because the great Bull *Execrabilis*, written by Pius II (and about which I wrote at some length in my May-June 1972 issue) has declared all such "councils" worthless. The anathemas (curses) attached to said Bull have come down upon the heads of all those who planned said "council" on those who participated in it in any way; on those who have promulgated and defended its teaching; and on all those who have accepted, as truth, the heresies contained in its documents.

Since the "New Ordo" was conceived and given birth at this heretical council, all priests who have accepted it as still being the True Sacrifice of the Mas, who have defended it as being such and who have exhorted the people to accept it are in the state of apostasy. Apostasy takes away the grace of the Holy Ghost from the souls of those who have the unhappiness to fall into it, and because of this emptiness of grace such souls become blinded to the truth in all things spiritual. As the great majority of our priests accepted the 'teachings' of Vatican Council II, in the very beginning their souls became weakened and each succeeding compromise resulted in further loss of grace. It was their acceptance of the "New Ordo" which resulted in their complete apostasy, and caused the "abomination of desolation" to be "set up in the holy places." By their acceptance of the "New Ordo," they case aside the True Mass and since the latter was inspired by the Holy Ghost (Who is God and cannot contradict His teachings of almost 2,000 years) these priests have set the wills of their apostate bishops (and their own) above that of the Holy Ghost. It was He Who baptized them and nourished a vocation to the Priesthood in their souls, and it it was He Who ordained them, consecrated their priestly hands, enlightened their minds, imparted untold graces to them, and Who stamped the mark of the Priesthood upon their souls never to be erased. The Holy Ghost does not treat ungrateful priests lightly. Since He is the dispenser of grace, He can also quickly take it away-in the exact measure as He had dispensed it. With the souls of these

priests now emptied of these rich graces, one can easily understand that they are, today, giving such strange and silly answers to relatively simple theological questions and why, when they are driven into a spiritual 'corner' by ordinary members of the laity, they invariably resort to using the term, "I must obey my bishop." The result has been that the laity, who have stayed with the True Church, have engaged in much prayer, and have become informed, and they know more today about the ways of God than do the great majority of priests.

Evidently, it is very hard for some members of the 'remnant' to understand why the great majority of priests have accepted the fraudulent teachings of Vatican Council II. These good people, through correspondence, tell me how close they had been to these priests for many years, and how much comfort and guidance the latter had afforded them in happier times and debating with them, all to no avail. As far as these priests are concerned, the 'remnant' might as well put away the pans and save their breath.

"Let them alone; they are blind, and leaders of the blind." (Matt. 15:14)

I must also remind the 'remnant' that there is an "occasion of sin" involved here-we are not allowed to pursue a course of action which we know, from past experience, will result in further bitterness and anger. Because we, of the 'remnant' have found peace of conscience, we want others to obtain this peace also, and I can easily understand the zeal in some hearts to want to save these priestly souls. God has, to be sure, committed His faithful to spread His Truth (Spiritual Works of Mercy), but He has never given us any mandate to save the whole of Catholicism. We are (and we must keep this in mind) lay people, and God knows our capabilities as far as saving apostate priests is concerned. He also knows, better than any of us, the strength of the enemy. We can only do the best we can by spreading the truth to individual souls with whom we come in contact and by showing them good example. If these souls do not accept the truth, then we must not blame ourselves; we have tried but we cannot give them grace and this is what they need. We leave these to God and continue in much prayer, trying to give as much hope and comfort to other members of the 'remnant' in order that they will remain strong in the faith.

A few other readers insist that "the Church will come back" since "she has been through this many times before." Nothing could be further from the truth. Let me explain.

Because His priests betrayed Him, almost to a man-God's wrath must be vented upon the world and there is no turning back now. Why would the Omnipotent God save man from His "Great Chastisement" when man has perpetrated (and tolerated) so much evil in the world and when His Own priests have mocked Him by folding their consecrated hands and allowing the people under their charge to be deprived of the

great means of atonement which is the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass? As the True Mass was replaced and removed from the Altars of Sacrifice, the last glimmer of light went out of the world and man was sent on a downward plunge into the darkness of his own resources.

The majority of Catholics—priests and people—did not value the True Mass. They proved this to God when they refused (out of spiritual slothfulness) to defend it when it was threatened. They chose to accept a cheap grade of "brass" (the New Ordo) in exchange for the pure "gold" (the True Mass) which they already possessed, thus causing all the anathemas to come down upon the already sinful world. And so, God allowed this treasure to be taken away from them. He will never send the True Mass back into this sinful world; the world must first be cleansed.

When one states that "the Church has been through this many times before," he or she has not studied Church History too well. The Church has never been through this before. In other trials, men were inspired by Satan to attack the Church, but he, himself, was bound in Hell. Today, Satan is loose upon the world and is leading the attack right from the heart of the Church, which is Rome itself.

Never before has there been the "Great Apostasy" among the priests. The Church suffered from mistakes made by weak popes, and she has never been able to depend upon her bishops—they always were the first to fall—out of cowardice. But she has always had a great number of mere priests upon whom she could depend; there is a long list of "priest-martyrs" from all corners of the world to prove this statement. Let me give my readers an example.

For over 100 years, the Irish were persecuted by the English tyrants and all religious practices were banned-under pain of torture or death. It was the humble and courageous priests who kept the faith going by acting as itinerant priests-going from house to house in various disguises, under the cover of darkness. Many of the faithful paid with their lives rather than betray these priests, and showed their love and respect for them by referring to them by the beautiful Gaelic expression "anam-chara" which, when translated, means "soul-friend." It was an era during which priests and people, souls joined together in the glorious faith, took cover under the Cross of Christ. My own ancestors by the name of Dunne were among them. If these great souls, especially the martyrs, are watching what is happening to the faith in the Irish Republic today, they must indeed be "calling for vengeance," as is recorded in Holy Scriptures. Let us now return to the present times.

Unlike the ordinary lay people, priests are supposed to have had specialized training in theology and philosophy and in all the ways of God. Bu as so many fell into apostasy so fast, it is not at all impossible to believe that this training was not so specialized as the laity had been given to understand. How else can we account for a situation wherein many of these priests were unable (even in the very beginning) to sort out heresies from truth contained in the documents of Vatican Council II? I know that this weakness was present because I discussed said documents with hundreds of priests during the years 1965-66, carrying my copy of documents around with The Father "X" priests would, me wherever I went. invariably, tell me that "they" (the liberals, I presume) had "gone too far" and that the documents never "condoned such innovations." I would dutifully take my copy in hand and point out to said priests the chapter and even the page wherein these "innovations" had very definitely been approved. It is understandable, perhaps that the ordinary layman might miss the heresies buried amidst the flowery phrases, but we have the right to expect more-much more-from our priests who are supposed to be the guardians of so many precious souls.

What were these priests taught in these seminaries over the past three or four decades? And when these priests took the "Anti-Modernist" oath, did they really realize the great responsibility they were assuming as they called the Great God down from the heavens to witness this oath? Had they been thoroughly made aware of the dangers (and the tactics) of "modernists" and had they studied—really studied—Pascendi by Pope St. Pius X, in which he tore the "modernists" to shreds? Considering all the blank stares I received from these priests when I mentioned Pascendi (and Quo Primum) to them, I have my doubts. Or it may have been that the apostasy had already erased these great promulgations from their minds.

It is common knowledge that the heretical "teachings" of Jungmann (and others of his ilk) had permeated the seminaries for many decades. How many seminarians who later became priests, had been tainted by these unCatholic teachings? I suppose we will never know, but these are questions which we must ask ourselves (casting our emotions aside), as we examine the "Great Apostasy" which has caused so many innocent members of the laity to have lost the faith.

I know, for a fact, that some young men enter seminaries, and go on to be ordained priests, due to family pressures. I know, personally, several families who have put pressure on their young men. Some stayed on to become priests, and others (no doubt these listened to the Holy Ghost) came out of the seminary, sending their families into shock—mostly out of pride.

Several priests have told me that they joined the Priesthood because of some personal grief which they had experienced and they felt that they could only find comfort from this grief by "giving their lives to God." Did they really give their lives to God, in complete commitment, or did they "cop out" on the lay state for which God had destined them? Becoming priests for reasons such as those which I have stated does not provide the Holy Church with 'fools for Christ;' martyrs (such as we need today) are not come by in such fashion.

I suppose a great many of these priests would not be in such danger if the great crisis hadn't been brought on by Vatican Council II. As long as the Church, Pope, bishops and other priests were speaking out on spiritual matters with one voice, nothing much happened, --at least as far as the laity was concerned. In pre-Vatican Council II days, there was only one set of rules to follow, and it was relatively easy for most priests to follow that set of rules, or, at least, to put up a good "front." But it took the spiritual revolution of the last decade, --when error would have to be separated from the truth—to separate the weak from the strong among our priests.

Another question comes to my mind: If a man becomes a priest for materialistic intentions, such as referred to above, instead of purely spiritual reasons, does he become a priest in the sight of God even though he believes that he has? We know that in order for the Sacraments to be valid, there has to be a right intention (among other things). Did God wish to "weed out" these priests by allowing Vatican Council II to come upon the world? It certainly gives one "food for thought" as we ponder all these questions in our minds.

Of course, the laity didn't do much to stop the "Great Apostasy"; they accepted anything from these priests. They accepted because they weren't all that 'faithful' either. They had already been overcome by the ever-surging waves of secularism. So, between the strange priests and the lax laity (and Satan roaming around), the poor Church was in great danger and was pretty much bereft of "warriors" even before this spiritual revolution got started.

Christ has referred to His priests as the "salt of the earth." He designated them as such since salt has a healing quality and priests are supposed to be the "healers" of souls. Christ also warned the priests of the dire consequences should that "salt lose its savour."

"Thou art the salt of the earth. But if the salt lose its savour" (apostasy) "wherewith shall it be salted?" (Without the grace of the Holy Ghost) "It is good for nothing anymore but to be cast out, and to be trodden on by men." (Matt. 5:13)

We all know how little respect there is in the world today for the priesthood.

Judas betrayed Christ for thirty pieces of silver and Christ said of Judas:

"It would have been better hadst thou never been born."

Christ could be saying the same words to the many priests of our day who have betrayed Him. At the very least, He must be saying to them that it would have been better had they never become priests. Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Sejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware March–April, 1974

The of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The

The Sword of Truth May-June, 1974

In Defense of Mary, the Mother of God

For <u>everything</u> that the majority of priests are doing and saying today, there is a verse in Holy Scripture, a Canon Law, a <u>dogmatic</u> Council of the Church, or a Bull or Encyclical of a saintly Pope which states, <u>most emphatically</u>, "Thou shalt not!"

Since priests are supposed to have had a thorough spiritual education in such matters, we (of the "remnant") find it hard to believe that our seemingly once-holy priests are acting so strangely these days. To be sure, in our zeal for their priestly souls, we feel great sorrow in our hearts for them and we pray constantly that they will, through God's grace, soon come to their senses. But on the other hand, we must weigh our feelings of sympathy for them against sympathy for the millions of souls who have lost the Faith because they were led astray by priests who have substituted the pure, unadulterated truth (which they formerly taught to the people) with the errors of the teachings of the new "church" which they, themselves, have chosen to follow in blind obedience to their apostate bishops.

Of all the strange priests running around loose today, there are none so strange as the so-called "Marianists;" we shall refer to such a priest as Father M. Father M, a long time ago, consecrated himself to Our Lady and dedicated his life to the furthering of devotion to her; a very noble cause, indeed! He became a self-professed expert on the "ways" of Mary and, over the years, he has gathered around himself many followers. These were people of "simple faith" whose love for the Mother of God knew no bounds. Father M was a holy and true priest and his celebration of the True Mass was a joy to behold. His sermons were so beautiful that they would bring tears to one's eyes as he spoke unceasingly of the great love which Christ has for His Mother—and vice versa; Father M possessed most abundant graces within his soul. Fatima was one of his favorite topics, and he exhorted his followers to

engage in much prayer and reparation for the sins of the world. He impressed upon the people that the "making" of the First Saturdays was the most efficacious way in which to help Mary hold back the hand of God from coming down upon the world in a terrible chastisement. Modesty in dress was another favorite subject about which he frequently spoke. He was forever distributing Our Lady's scapulars and miraculous medals, and always seemed to have a never-ending supply of rosary beads on hand to pass out to all with whom he came in contact.

Father M truly loved Our Lady with all his heart and soul, and frequently engaged in organizing "pilgrimages" of some sort in her honor. He and his faithful "Marianists" participated in processions during which the "Pilgrim Virgin" (or some other statue of Our Lady) was carried. During these pilgrimages the True Mass was celebrated, and the voices of the "pilgrims" rose gloriously to the heavens singing hymns of love and praise to Christ's sweet Mother. Father M was truly the best among priests having dedicated his life both to Christ (in the Priesthood) and, also, to His Mother. Sad to say, Father M has, today, opted for the new "church" and so he is no longer an expert on Mary. He is, today, still doing all the things he used to do so long ago-distributing scapulars, medals and rosary beads, and he is still organizing "pilgrimages" and "pushing" the First Saturday devotion. But Father M is no longer an expert on Mary because he has abandoned the most important function of the Priesthood-he has abandoned the True Mass (which the Mother of God loves so dearly) and he has now become "adjusted" to 'officiating' at the Novus Ordo.

Not only has Father M <u>accepted</u> the Novus Ordo (and has encouraged his followers to do likewise) but Father M <u>defends</u> said "worship" with the same zeal as he once defended the True Mass! Father M has led the <u>best</u> of Mary's "children" astray and he has done so in the <u>name</u> of Mary, as he tries to hide his <u>own</u> guilt beneath her mantle. Father M. today is a hypocrite!

Now, how did it happen that any priest of God could lead so many of these people of such strong faith astray? Well, Father M still poses as a holy and reverent priest. He, also, has a convincing "way with words" - an art he learned in happier times on the "circuit" as he travelled hither and you all over the country. And so, when one of Father M's followers would start feeling a few pangs of conscience (no doubt whisperings from Our Lady), the former would bring forth his little "bag of tricks," and start emphasizing that the faithful should follow Mary's example of obedience by being obedient to the "magisteriuim" and the Church. But Father M was now speaking of a "magisterium" in apostasy, and when he mentioned the "Church," he was not speaking of the True Church of the Saints (Mary's Church), but of the new 'church' of Paul VI - his "holy father." Many of the faithful who "faltered" were brought back to this new "church" upon hearing Father M castigate anyone who had "abandoned the

Church in her hour of need." Father M was, of course, referring to the supposedly "disobedient" members of the "remnant." "Did the Mother of Sorrows abandon Christ at the foot of His Cross?" he would ask those present. And so, after sermons such as these, given by this "holy" priest, the faithful returned to sitting in the back of the new "masonic temple" fingering their beloved rosary beads in their hands while picturing themselves as following Our Lady's example beneath the Cross of her Son. Many of these "Marianists" among the laity despise the Novus Ordo, and inevitably come away from it week after week with bitterness in the hearts. But Father M, as always, has an answer for this—"offer it up to the Sorrowful Heart of Mary and she will take this bitterness from your heard." Father M is using Christ's Mother as a tool to cover up his own lack of courage in dealing with his bishop.

Father M continually exhorts his followers to "listen to the holy father" and to "stay within the structures of the church" (Paul VI's masonic 'church). As the well-intentioned people listen to Father M, they wander further and further away from the True Church (her structures have been demolished)—and from Mary whom they strive so desperately to follow. Let us see what Father M's "Holy father" thinks of Mary, the glorious Mother of God!

On March 22, 1974, there came upon the Catholic world a new "promulgation" from Paul VI and his renegades in the Vatican; a 17,000 "apostolic exhortation" entitled "Marialis Cultus" which states:

"The incomplete, one-sided picture of Mary had been given by a certain type (traditionalist) of devotional literature which was hard to reconcile with modern life."

Of course, Paul VI is correct since the true devotional literature is incompatible with the modern world. Everything else which has to do with the True Church has been abandoned and so now is the time to give the faithful a distorted view of Mary's ways; her personality and, indeed, the very reason for her having been created—pure, humble and the holiest of all of God's creatures. The "Marialis Cultus" is a mish-mash of the usual gibberish which comes down from Rome these days. Let us continue to analyze it a little further:

Paul VI states as follows:

"Modern women, seeking liberation and a share in decision-making power, could find a champion in the Virgin Mary, the mother of Jesus – the New Woman. The modern woman will note, with pleasant surprise (?) That Mary of Nazareth, while completely devoted to the will of God (note the traditionalist touch), was far from being a timidly submissive woman or one whose piety was repellent to others."

How can Father M who is constantly preaching, even today, against abortion, birth control and immodesty in dress ever

follow this "holy father" who compares the pure, humble Blessed Mother to the "modern woman," insisting that Mary is the "champion" of those "seeking liberation" –those selfish, immoral, revolutionary "Jezebels" whose aim in life is to see all women degraded and robbed of all respect due them. Mary is sweet, pure and compassionate; these "modern women" (pushers of the Women's Lib Movement) are raucous, ill-bred, and loud-mouthed; the leaders of said "movement" are avid communists. They promote all of the things against which Father M preaches. His "holy father" approves of them because Paul VI is not the true "Vicar of Christ" but a revolutionary imposter (facts prove that he has long been a communist sympathizer), and he knows exactly what he is saying and doing every step of the way. Again we listen to him:

"Mary was a woman of strength whose example supports the liberating energies now moving in society."

And this his "Marialis Cultus" goes on to say that "Mary insisted that Jesus change the water into wine at the Marriage Feast at Cana."

Mary, this glorious Mother of God had, indeed, great strength! But her strength came to her through the grace of the Holy Ghost Who upheld her throughout the terrible ordeal of watching her Son being scourged, crowned with thorns, and crucified on the Cross—it was not the kind of strength about which Paul VI is speaking. As her Son was scourged, Mary felt every lash; as He was crowned with thorns, she shared each piercing cut, and her mother's heart bled since she was unable to relieve Him of His sufferings—nor was she allowed to minister to Him by washing the blood away from His terrible wounds. Today's "modern women" allow their children to be destroyed in the sterilized operating rooms without so much as a twitch of their consciences.

It was through Christ's Passion and Death that Mother and Son, suffering together, became united forever. The Sacred Heart of Jesus and the Sorrowful and Immaculate Heart of Mary are irrevocably intertwined. Whatever Christ wills, Mary wills, and it is for this reason that Mary can never condone the new "church" nor the antics of the "new women" —which are part and parcel of each other. Mary never insisted that Christ change the water into wine at the Wedding Feast at Cana—she simply said, "They have no wine," and Jesus answered, "Woman, what is that to me and to thee? My hour is not yet come." Mary knowing her Son's compassion told the waiters, "Whatsoever He shall say to you, do ye." John 2: 3-5 Douay Rheims. Mary left the situation completely in Christ's hands.

St. Bonaventure states: "God could have created a greater world, but He could not have created a greater mother than the Mother of God." Mary has dignity, purity, humility and great compassion—she is truly the fairest of all God's creatures. Christ's Church possesses all the virtues which Mary, herself,

possesses. Therefore, Mary (and all the many devotions to her) can never be separated from the True Church; she belongs to Christ and so she belongs to His Church. How could Mary approve of teachings which have mocked her Son so much and so viciously? In Genesis 3:15 we read of God's words to Satan: "I will put enmities between thee and the woman, between thy seed" (error) "and her seed" (truth). "She shall crush thy head."

Father M, today, is actually telling his followers that they can break every true Canon Law; ignore every dogmatic Council; throw out every Bull and Encyclical (which the new "church" has most certainly done), and all one has to do, in order to be saved, is to drape the scapular around one's shoulders and say the Rosary—"Mary will save!" That is like saying that a man with high blood pressure can avoid a stroke if only he takes the pills prescribed by his doctor. If that same man eats the foods which he is told to avoid, that man will die of a massive stroke someday—the pills and the proper diet work together to prevent the stroke.

So, too, the Rosary and the True Sacrifice of the Mass complement each other. Therefore, any Father M who "pushes" the Rosary and continues, at the same time, to officiate at the Novus Ordo (and urges others to attend said "worship") is insulting Our Lady. St. Grignon de Montford tells us that each time we say the word "Mary," she sais the word "God" –so what can she do for souls who insist upon trying to keep one foot in the True Church by saying the Rosary and the other goot outside of the True Church by officiating at, attending (or defending) the Novus Ordo?

The "conservatives" were happy that Paul VI spoke of a renewed interest in the Rosary in his "Marialis Cultus." But when we read on, we find that it is not Our Lady's Rosary of which Paul speaks—the one which she gave to St. Dominic and the same which she taught the little children of Fatima to say slowly and reverently, since Paul admits that there are various "study groups" attempting to find ways by which the Rosary will be "renewed" in order that it might conform to the modern world. Paul VI knows, and I know, that this is a statement after the fact since there are various "types" of "rosaries" — penetential, scriptural, among others, and they are being adopted by the various "marianist" circles all over the world. These "rosaries" complement the new "church" and true "children of Mary" should have nothing to do with them.

Our Lady made a lot of promises to the world; but those promises had stipulations attached to them. She promised to save Spain and Portugal—the two countries most dear to her heart. But the Novus Ordo reigns supreme in these two countries today and so Mary cannot save them if the True Mass is not flourishing—Mary needs the True Mass! Spain is about to fall—through the maneuverings of Paul VI and his "vatican," and Portugal has already experienced a communist

coup! So where is Our Lady doing her work of salvation of souls?

Mary has gone to comfort the "remnant." She has taken them under her mantle because they have been abandoned and she knows that they have nowhere else to go. As they finger their rosary beads, pray for souls, ignore the apostates, and keep the love of the True Mass in their hearts, she looks sweetly down upon them and whispers to them: "Have no fear little remnant. I once stood where you are now standing—at the foot of the Cross of my Son."

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware May-June, 1974

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth Sept.-Oct., 1976

Prayer and the Power of Prayer

The favorable response to my May-June issue re the connection between The John Birch Society and The Orthodox Roman Catholic Movement was absolutely overwhelming! Many, many of the letters and long-distance telephone calls came from Catholic ex-Birchers (I had no idea that there were so many on my mailing list) who related to me the many sad experiences that they had suffered through - once they found out the truth about the JBS and decided to expose said organization. Quite a few had given up in frustration after they found that their sincere efforts to help out were thwarted by certain "cliques" within the Society. One lady asked me if I was aware of the "Zionist infiltration" within the JBS. I am completely aware of this situation (readers have sent me much documentation to this effect) - a situation which causes me great concern since the safety of Catholics, who refuse to leave the Society, is at stake!

Although I already had sufficient evidence of said "infiltration," I received more information when the June, 1976 issue of a magazine called *The Liberty Bell* came into my home in the latter part of June. Said issue contained the article, "John Birch Betrayed Again" wherein is explained the absolute "take-over" of the JBS by the Jews (Zionists). Quite some time ago, the Jews came out in full force against the WASP (White Anglo-Saxon Protestants) element which ran the JBS and who were in the majority as far as membership was concerned, accusing them of being anti-Semitic. At first, the WASP leaders met these smears with vigorous rebuttals, but eventually they were worn down or silenced by threats of blackmail. Let me quote from the article – adding my own emphasis:

"The reason Jews accused the John Birch Society of anti-Semitism before the fact is that they were afraid Gentiles would discover Jews were behind Communism after the fact.

With faked photos of the 'Nazi Holocaust' in the minds' eyes, the Gentile leaders appointed 'co-ordinators' to ferret out any member of the Society who expressed viewpoints which might be construed as being 'anti-semitic.' Since many Americans (patriots) were already aware of the Jewish role in the foundation, direction and extension of Communism through the world, the Society was immediately torn by feuds and dissension.

To further absolve themselves from the dreaded charge of 'anti-Semitism,' the Society's leaders hastened to fill its ranks with Jewish members and propagandists. Many ex-members of the Society report that paid, full-time co-ordinators, political commissars, can now be numbered in the hundreds."

What does this mean in terms of Communist plans for takeover? Most importantly, the Conspirators know the name, address, phone number, family background, profession and other vital details of every John Birch Society member under the co-ordinators' supervision. No better way exists to obtain an up-to-date list of the most active members of America's rapidly-shrinking patriotic population."

By monitoring all meetings, discussions and projects, the Coordinator can identify and mark for future reference any intelligent, aggressive, natural leader (and the JBS is filled with such people) in his area of jurisdiction. No better method could be devised for a small minority to oversee and direct the efforts of a great majority."

Now, with all the many scandalous "happenings" going on within the new Montinian (Paul VI) 'church' of Vatican Council II, some readers might wonder why I am so concerned about a political organization such as the JBS? Well, I have readers who attend the ORCM "chapels" (some attending Mass in motels in public view), and I am concerned about them because the ORCM organization is run (with few exceptions) by priests and laity who are what can only be referred to as "super-Birchers." These super-Birchers are thwarting the efforts of people, such as myself, to expose the Masonic and Zionist influence within the JBS (and other "anticommunist outfits like them) in order to protect the Catholic members of the "remnant" who sincerely believe that they cannot live without the Mass and who will go anywhere to attend it.

The super-Birchers claim that anyone who speaks out against their "chapels" are spoilers of the so-called "traditionalist movement" when, actually the "traditionalists" (conservatives of old) are, themselves, so torn apart with differences of opinion on very important issues that they seem to be doing a good job (without any help from me or others) of "spoiling" whatever the JBS hoped to accomplish.

My love for the True Mass, as restored to us through the Council of Trent in the name of Pope St. Pius V, *Quo Primum*, runs through every nerve and every drop of blood in this body of mine. With the help of God, I will never deny the Holy Mass, and I will continue to lash out against the abomination, known as the "Novus Ordo," as long as God gives me the health and the grace to do so. On the other hand, I refuse to attend the Holy Mass "at any price."

The super-Birchers claim that anyone who speaks out against the JBS is not a patriot, and that we are weakening (I hope so!) the JBS constant drive for new membership. Now, nobody loves this country more than I – I have been politically active for over 20 years trying to save it. But I (and a few others) know that the fight is over now, and that militancy today is both a waste of time and (for the Catholic "remnant," especially) a very dangerous endeavor. America is doomed not because good people didn't fight to save her. America is doomed because the Catholic Hierarchy (made up of enemies and cowards) robbed the American people (both Catholics and Protestants) of a strong, spiritual leadership. I remember the strong Catholic Hierarchy we had prior to World War II. I was a teenager then and I remember how they fought to rid the theaters of the rotten, filthy movies that were being made in Hollywood. Penalties were imposed on any Catholic who attended the movies while the boycott (ordered by the Hierarchy) was in force. The movie moguls almost went bankrupt since the people listened to the voices of the Hierarchy, which in those days was strong enough to be heard over the propaganda of the communists. Today, America is a veritable cesspool of immorality – it is as though the sulphurs of hell are seeping through the pavements of our cities and towns and along our coastlines (the beaches). CATHOLIC HIERARCHY IS TO BLAME! We saw them in action at the communist-oriented "happening" in Philadelphia recently - carried on in the name of the Holy Eucharist. If that mockery of Christ doesn't speed up the Great Chastisement, I don't know what will. If ever we needed purely spiritual priests, it is today, since today's battle is a spiritual battle. Let these super-Bircher priests stop hiding behind the Holy Mass and get out of the JBS. If they want to be priests, leaders of Catholic "remnant" – let them stop (Incomplete page two in

Actually, Mr. Welch is not very interested in having Catholics (the strong ones) join his Society. I quote from the "Blue Book" (page 135) as follows:

"For those who already have such a bedrock of faith and stand by it, I can offer nothing. But for those who are no longer sure exactly where they do stand, on what rocks or how firmly, I want to try to show them."

Yes, indeed he does.

Mr. Welch believes in evolution (some Christian!) As anyone turning to Page 140 of his "Blue Book" can clearly see. On Page 155 of the same book we find that the Society is both a religion (?) And a revolution. I can believe this since the Birchers tried to "pump" me (however subtly) regarding the so-called "changes in the Catholic Church" since Vatican Council II. They were not quite sure how much I really knew, and how far I would go in telling what I knew. It was, to their way of thinking, much better to have me join them than to keep worrying about what I was going to do next. There is much more I could tell my readers about my own personal experiences with the JBS, but what I have already stated should suffice. In view of what I have already stated I am sure all of my readers will understand my concern over the connection between the JBS and the ORCM. I would have preferred having the truth come from the priests connected with the ORCM rather than myself but, evidently, that was not to be. No doubt, I will be accused by some "hot-heads" of attempting to dismember the so-called "traditional" movement—which never really existed in the first place!

Some will claim that these are days of emergency (against a communist takeover which has already occurred), and that we should ignore our different religious beliefs and fight the battle together. The "Novus Ordo" Hierarchy keeps telling the new "People of God" the same thing. Anyone who suggests such a thing to Catholics is putting said Catholics in a position wherein they can quickly become weakened in their faith. Once the faith is weakened, there is a great danger of losing it entirely.

Personally, I do not have any faith in the JBS's ability to fight communism or anything else for that matter. Their "track record," over the years has proven this. To be sure, they have informed (not always accurately, I might add), but then so have others (much more accurately) - mostly individual writers who are responsible for their own writings and are not backed by any organization. Said to say, many of these good writers are going out of business due to lack of funds. Since they send out their mail under "first class" (as is my own custom) they were hit especially hard by the increase in postal rates recently. This situation is prevalent among some of the more accurate newspapers and magazines which have had to raise their subscriptions (quite reluctantly) and, as a result, were cut off from many who found it impossible to pay the increase. Such is the price one pays for being independent these days.

The voluminous writings put forth over the years under the auspices of the JBS, have done absolutely nothing to stop the imminent collapse of this country of ours. In refutation to this statement, the die-hard Birchers might claim that the people, themselves, were too lazy to read their material and too apathetic to take pen in hand and write to their Congressmen,

the latter seems to be the only solution the Birchers ever had. Pray tell, dear readers, what good it does to write to Congressmen who are either a part of the conspiracy to destroy the United States, or are controlled by the traitors? None whatsoever, and I have brought the wrath of the Birchers down on my head more than once by telling them that writing to the Congressmen was a waste of time. To be sure, there have been instances wherein the Congressmen have seemingly listened to their constituents, and have voted against a certain Bill. But these are only delayed tactics and when the Bill is brought forth again (without any publicity), these same Congressmen have voted in favor of said Bill.

Today, dear readers, we are in a spiritual battle, a deathstruggle between the real Catholic Church and Satan himself and there isn't a political organization in existence today which can save the world-especially this country. The morals in this country today are so decadent that only the great chastisement from the hand of God can purify it. And let the Birchers not tell me that they write against immorality-indeed they do! But let them clean up their own "closets" in the "upper-echelons" before they start preaching to others. I won't go into this further but I have plenty of facts in my possession to prove what I say. The chief cause of the immorality in our country today is that Catholics, among its citizenry, lost their way and adopted the secular ideas of the world. They have not listened to Our Lady of Fatima (She, who is the great foe of communism) when she pleaded for prayers, sacrifice and reparation for the sins of the world. If Catholic Birchers had spent more time on their knees in prayer instead of running all over the country giving political lectures, shoving Birch material into envelopes, training others to write letters to Congressmen, and informing the people about all those somewhat nebulous "internationalists" who were plotting to take over our country, then God's chastisement might have been mitigated. But now it is too late! The JBS gives hope to the people when there is no hope. The JBS, like some other "anti-communist" preachers around today, have to give people hope, otherwise they would go out of business, and the JBS is a very commercial organization!

As I said previously, I have known about the masonic nature of the JBS for a long time, but within the last six months or so I have learned a lot more about the deception and hypocrisy which is going on within said Society. I have, also, been receiving long-distance phone calls (money is no object in the JBS) from Birchers who have somehow gotten hold of my writings and are quite unhappy with my "doomsday predictions." One chided me for writing (in my May–June, 1975 issue) that the various "chapels would not endure later on." Said he, "The ORCM chapels are spreading fast, and they will endure with the John Birch Society helping to sustain them." Evidently, the "spreading" is a sign of success to some people. It is my personal opinion that if there is one dime from the JBS financing these "chapels," then it would have been better had not one of them come into existence at all.

Harsh words? Perhaps, but then these are harsh (and extremely dangerous) times and the "double-edged sword" hangs over the heads of all of the members of the "remnant," and only harsh words can do the job of warning them about all the dangers which surround them. A letter was written by one of the very top men in the Birth Society to one of my readers (it is now in my possession), and I quote: "If there had never been a John Birch Society, then there would never have been an ORCM." The letter was written by a Catholic who, evidently, sees nothing wrong in such a connection. Some of us feel differently!

Am I being "unbrotherly" towards the Masons in the JBS (up at the top) when I venture to ask why the pamphlet "Holding Fast" was typeset by the JBS and printed at a special rate by Sullivan Brothers in Lowell, Massachusetts—the same group that prints the JBS monthly Bulletin? I do have proof of this or I couldn't (in conscience) make the statement. With such help as this it is no wonder that the ORCM is spreading. The question comes to mind which needs a truthful answer: Why would the JBS be so interested in the "remnant?" Why, too, would they be interested in putting their sticky little fingers into the printing of the "Holding Fast" pamphlet?

I will continue to tell my readers to WATCH AND PRAY. They must watch for the signals which tell them to be still and not "make waves" which will put themselves and their families in danger. We can learn much from our Catholic brethren behind the Iron Curtain who have experienced persecution and know how to handle it – and still keep the faith! Let the "active" ones go out into a useless battle and get their noses bloodied by the enemies whom they thought were their friends –I f this is what they want. We are only interested in souls—as many as we can bring back – and we can bring these souls back to God only through our prayers.

St. Therese of Lisieux has told us that, "The power of prayer is tremendous."

In *The Way of Divine Love*" p. 153, we read Christ's words as follows: "Sinners provoke the divine wrath, but souls that love Me are sacrificing and consuming themselves as victims of reparation, and they draw down God's mercy, and that is what saves the world. If I were unable to find souls to solace Me and draw down mercy, justice could no longer be restrained."

We know the revolution must come. The best we can do is to get out of its way the best we can-and pray for God's guidance and protection. The Great Chastisement from God must, also, come but if we pray hard enough and persevere in our prayers, the merciful God will mitigate it—even a little bit of mitigation will help.

GOD ANSWERS PRAYER
I know not by what methods rare
But this I know God answers prayer
I know not when He sends the word.

That tells us fervent prayer is heard.

I know it cometh soon or late;
Therefore, we need to pray and wait.

I know not if the blessing sought
Will come in just the guise I thought.
I leave my prayers with Him alone,
Whose Will is wiser than my own.

Pray much, and God bless all of you!

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road 19711 Newark, Delaware September–October, 1976

The Sward of Truth The Sward of Truth The Sward of Truth

The Sword of Truth November-December, 1976

The Wolves in Sheep's Clothing

As the letters poured in, praising my September-October, 1976 issue, my readers inevitably wanted to know my feelings regarding what has come to be known as "the Lefebvre affair." By now most of my readers know where I stand on this subject – at least in part. I promised that I would go into more detail in this issue, so let us get on with it.

Let me say, at the outset, that I will have nothing to do with the projected "Tridentine Rite" church being erected under the auspices of "The Society of St. Pius X." We, of the remnant, do not follow everyone who offers us the Mass, or who offers to "save the Mass." We have an incorrigible habit of looking "behind the scenes," and we are continually investigating the backgrounds of those who profess to be offering it and saving it.

I have been warning my readers for some time now against joining any organization or societies because of the fact that the enemy has infiltrated them. I have always known (and have stated many times) that all our political and spiritual problems have been caused by Freemasons. There has never been any doubt in my mind that "the masks would fall" someday from the faces of the Freemasons who have been posing as "Shepherds" within the ranks of the Hierarchy, and who have attained to the highest and most powerful ranks within said Body – especially in the Vatican itself. This year we have received absolute proof. Since last Spring I have been sending out a list of Masons in the Vatican to my readers

through private correspondence. Recently, the **Herald of Freedom**, Sept. 24, 1976, gave us information in more detail. Slowly, more and more information on the subject is filtering down, and I am sure that the names of these Freemasons are only the "tip of the iceberg," as it were.

While some other writers were giving the people hope, albeit false hope, I kept telling my readers that the situation (both political and spiritual) would continue to get worse — not better. I have insisted that there were terrible, frightening days as more and more of the real enemies were exposed publicly. Those days are now coming upon us, as the revolution within the Church continues (and gathers speed) unabated.

Some writers have projected the view that, in times of emergency, "Divine Law supersedes Canon Law." These writers are not thinking straight. They forget, I suppose that our Canon Laws were given us in order to protect the Divine Law. It would seem to me that those who insist that we can ignore (or even bend) Canon Law today, in order to further our own man-made plans to "save the Mass" and "keep the priesthood from extinction" have some soul-searching to do. I am of the opinion that only those Catholics who abide by Canon Law will be safe from falling into schism during these perilous days. We know that the Novus Ordo "church" of Montini has not only broken (or ignored) a few Canon Laws here and there, said "church has thrown the whole book away! Once we start breaking or bending a few of these Laws, here and there, we are on very dangerous ground. We are bound to come up against those Canon Laws which have the penalty of ipso facto excommunication attached thereto. Do we ignore or bend these Laws, also – because we find ourselves in "a state of emergency?" I think not! I have in mind those Canon Laws which cover membership (or any affiliation with) in Freemasonry.

Now let us return to the "Lefebvre affair." We now know that "Cardinal Achille Lienhart, who ordained Archbishop Lefebvre, has been a Freemason for a long time. Lienhart joined the Masons in 1912 (CAMBRIA), HE BECAME AN 18TH Degree mAson in 1918, and in 1924 he rose to the ranks of 30th Degree Masonry. Lefebvre was ordained in 1929 by a man who was a 30th Degree Mason, (1928), as was his "elevation" to Cardinal in 1930. At that time, Lienhart was ipso facto excommunicated, and didn't possess the power to ordain anyone. (See *L'infailiabilite Pontificale.*)

Now, I would advise certain priest-readers (who have become a little hostile towards me with regard to the "Lefebvre affair") to hit the books. They might look up Canon Law 2335 CIC and Canon Law 985 CIC. Let these priests come to grips with their consciences and perhaps, after spending a few sleepless nights (and much time in prayer) decide for themselves as to whether or not their "another St. Athanasius" was, in fact, ever validly ordained. If I have upset some of my readers (who

were ready to jump on the Lefebvre "bandwagon"), then I am sorry. I know of no other way to state the facts.

Even if the above information was not available, I would still be against Lefebvre and his moderates (Una Voce) who are still proposing a side-by-side "Tridentine Rite" and "Novus Ordo Rite" operating cozily on an equal basis. I do not hesitate to say that I have steered a number of young men away from the "seminary" in Econe, Switzerland. One young man refused to listen, and God knows what will happen to him now.

Two of my priest-readers cannot understand why I am against a side-by-side arrangement, and so I will tell them (and all of my readers) exactly why. In the first place, I happen to already have a Church – the One True Church – even though that Church is in eclipse and not visible to the world. There is no need for a "Tridentine Rite," and I ask my readers to beware of those who tell them that Holy Mother Church must prove that She is alive by being visible. I will not go along with anyone who goes begging, with arms outstretched for approval from Montini and his Masonic renegades. begging, of course, does not both the Una Voce leaders (who are, at best, spiritual half-wits), and they, themselves, engage in it as does their leader, Lefebvre. Still believing that Montini is his "Holy Father," Lefebvre made the following plea (June 22, 1976) to Montini in which he asked, "that we might be permitted to enter into a dialogue with envoys (?) Which Your Holiness would choose from among those Cardinals whom we have known for a long time; with the help of the grace (?) Of God the difficulties will then without doubt be overcome."

The above was printed in *The Remnant*, Sept. 15, 1976, put out by Mr. Walter Matt, who evidently doesn't see anything wrong with this "begging" either.

I have before me a copy of a letter written by Mr. John A. McManemin, President of the Una Voce here in the United States, to Dr. Eric de Saventhem, President of the International Una Voce, dated July 23, 1976. Let me quote, please:

"Might not this whole question (Lefebvre) be resolved simply by Rome (the Masonic Rome) permitting the Society to form a separate Tridentine Rite as Dr. Van Hildebrand (another spiritual dummy) and I proposed to the AGM (Annual General Meeting) several times?"

These outrageous requests were supposed to be answered through the grace of God? God has no use for those who bargain with His enemies. All those who follow these moderates (who claim to be such "militants") will live to rue the day!

Since these moderates are bargaining with the Masons, it would have been better had they all stayed with the Novus Ordo "church" of Montini, rather than posing as

"traditionalists" who are so actively attempting to "save the Mass" and Christ's Royal Priesthood. They are saving nothing.

While these moderates are keeping themselves busy running back and forth, and writing innumerable letters, between themselves and their "Holy Father," the latter is proving to the world that he is still the master politician that he has always been. According to "rumors" (rumors end up becoming realities as in the beginning "trial balloons" are sent up) Montini is about to name some sort of "Deputy Pope" (*Evening Journal*, Wilmington, Del., October 20, 1976). From the Milan newspaper *Il Giornale*, we have this information regarding this "Deputy Pope":

"A sensational innovation (what else is now?), a decision of historical scope (so was the Novus Ordo) without precedent. To do this, he reportedly would call to his side an alter ego, to whom he would entrust part of the burden and power now weighing exclusively on his shoulders—not just a deputy, but a collaborator intended to relieve him by standing by his side in some duties that are certainly not minor or negligible."

Everything that Montini has done has been "without precedent;" cut-throat politics has always been the "name of the game" as far as Montini is concerned. We, of the remnant, know exactly what all this means. Actually, Montini is just firming up his future plans—making sure that his dreams of a One World Church will continue to go forward unabated after he either passes on to his judgment in the next world, or is forced out of control due to his age and his infirmities.

In my September-October 1976 issue, I told my readers to stand aside and get out of the way of the real revolution that is coming fast – and I meant it! Our country is just about ready to collapse, and our freedoms will be taken away from us shortly - most of them have already been taken away. As always, those who will be affected mostly by this revolution (the people) will be the last to know that they are "pawns in the game" of a world conspiracy. We, of the remnant, will survive as long as we keep our faith intact. I know that some of my readers are very sure that they will never be able to keep the faith without having the visible means of grace (the Holy Mass and the Sacraments) available to them. But I have many other readers who have been in the so-called "catacombs" for some time now, and their letters to me are proof that they have not only kept their faith, but that this faith of theirs has become stronger than ever. It takes great courage to "come away" from the multitudes while others are engaged in what seems like endless activity—which is referred to from time to time, by those who don't know any better, as "militancy!" But these quiet and peaceful souls must be those to whom Christ referred when He spoke these consoling words, "Fear not, little flock."

As far back as 1972, I sent my readers a "list of instructions" telling them to go into the "catacombs." Some listened; the majority did not listen. I would hope that all of my readers will listen to me at this point in time. If any of my readers do not have a tape of the Mass in their possession, I advise them to get one as quickly as possible. This tape is all we will have and it will serve to keep the Holy Mass alive in our hearts. We have the Rosary, which, no doubt, was given to us in order to bring us much comfort during these latter days as we experience the suppression of the Mass. We will be very hardpressed for a priest to hear our Confessions, and so we must make good use of the Confiteor. We must implore God's Blessed Mother to help us to avoid MORTAL SIN, and we can make perfect Acts of Contrition, and make use of our Spiritual Communion prayers. Holy Mother Church has provided us with a treasury of indulgenced prayers (Raccolta) which can be found in all truly Catholic prayer books, but God will know exactly where we are and what we are doing. The Good Shepherd has said, "I will know Mine, and Mine will know Me," reassuring words for those who decide to "go it alone" and abandon themselves completely to His care.

It is true that I do not offer hope in the worldly sense, since there isn't one human leader (from among those who keep popping up here and there) to whom I would entrust this immortal soul of mine today.

We are living in a very unstable (and frightfully evil) world today. What seems to be a permanent arrangement one day, falls apart the next. Even some of us with strong minds find it difficult to keep up with all the "happenings" going on around us. We cannot afford, dear readers, to allow our emotions to run away with us and follow every perishable "straw in the wind." ONLY GOD IS CONSTANT, and when He said that "the gates of Hell" would never prevail against His Church, we must believe His words and keep remembering them.

After the Great Chastisement (and not before,) God will send us a leader who will be of His choosing. There will be no confusion surrounding this leader because he will be filled with the grace of the Holy Ghost and the Holy Ghost (as God) cannot confuse. This great leader (no doubt, the holy Pope as mentioned in the prophecies) will speak with a "golden" tongue – he will be incapable of speaking "double-talk." No doubt, he will be a very humble man but his voice will ring out loud and clear, and we will know that he is the one whom God has chosen. He will speak of the one true church, and he will be convincing.

We, dear readers, must do two things now. We must be very brave as the coming events tax our very souls and our sanity. We must pray, especially, for our fallen-away loved ones — that they may join us in the catacombs (through the grace and mercy of God) before they reach the point of no return. The wolves in sheep's clothing are now being exposed, and more will be exposed later. They are a formidable enemy, and we

(of the remnant) are, at the moment, hopelessly outnumbered, since we have nothing in common with the so-called "traditionalists" who have set themselves up as some sort of "saviors." We will stay together, however small in number we may be, and we will watch and pray.

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 Nov.—Dec. 1976

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth January-February, 1977

To Deceive (if possible) Even the Elect

There seems to be a sort of "mass hysteria" prevalent within the Lefebvre "camp" these days, and some of these Lefebvre backers will stop at nothing, including lying and the destruction of good reputations in their attempts to keep the movement going. I have suffered persecution from the hands of the Modernists for over 12 years now, but God has protected me from them and they have never been able to stop this newsletter. An attempt is now being made under the cover of "traditionalism," and that is why it is so deadly! I (and a few others) have become victims of a smear campaign which has originated in Mexico. The material containing these smears (the right word is "libel") had been already distributed far and wide through Europe, South America, Mexico and here in the United States before I was given a chance to defend myself. But then, dear readers, that is how smear campaigns are conducted. Ordinarily, I do not bother to defend myself from those who write against me since I write for God (and not for my critics) and it is what God thinks of my writings that is important to me. However, I think I owe it to my family, and to all of my loyal readers (some of whom had received it before I had) to make an exception in this case. So here goes!

On December 30, 1976, there came into my home a package from an organization in Mexico known as "Union de Catholicos Nacionalistas Mexicanos" (UDECANM) Ricarte #461, Mexico 14, D. F., an organization which is well-known in Mexico (and in other countries), and which has commanded much respect because it, seemingly, operates under the "banner" of the late (and saintly) priest, Father Joaquin Saenz y Arriaga (*The New Montinian Church*), who, by the say, happened to be one of my supporters, as several of my readers will testify. The package contained the following material:

- 1) A letter from Anacleto Gonzalez Flores who, evidently, is the top man in the UDECANM, to a Mr. Henry Beemster of Wisconsin. From the opening sentence in the letter, it is evident that these two men have been corresponding frequently.
- 2) A rather unprofessional, and untruthful (in that it is slanted) article written against me by one Gloria Riestra, Director of the Magazine, *Trento*, which was founded by Father Saenz.

Other material was included but it does not pertain to me, so I shall not mention it here. First, let us discuss Mr. Flores' letter:

Within the pages of his letter, Mr. Flores has referred to me as "Agent Saboteur Mary Lejeune". May God have mercy on this man's soul! He has also accused me of being a part of some sort of conspiracy against Holy Mother Church along with Mr. William Strojie, Mrs. Solange Hertz, and *Veritas* of Louisville, Kentucky. Here is a sample:

"We realize (?) That Mary Lejeune, Solange Hertz, and Strojie are a team under *Veritas*, and *Veritas* hate against Archbishop Lefebvre led them (*Veritas*) to make that clumsy mistake of using a forged photo and inspiration same as *O'sservatore Romano*. They are finished (?) As honest people, not only as catholic traditionalists.

Mr. Flores has a lot of nerve to speak of honesty – he who has accused me of being some sort of "double-agent" without one shred of evidence in his possession to prove such a charge. Notice, dear readers, the words "We realize" which Mr. Flories uses. He didn't write "we are certain" nor "we have proof" – he didn't have to. The little "seed" was planted (shades of the Modernists), and Mr. Flores knows that the phrase he has used will be quite sufficient to make people (all over the world) believe that the charges he has brought against me are true!

There happens to be a common denominator here—the four of us refuse to go along with the Lefebvre "movement" (for various reasons), and so attempts must be made to silence us. The means used to silence us do not, necessarily, have to be honest means — it is the achievement of the goal that is important here. Anyone, no matter how innocent that person

may be, will be referred to as being some sort of traitor against Holy Mother Church if he or she writes (or even utters) anything against said "movement." My newsletter is widely read in Mexico, and I have very loyal readers in that country. No doubt, Mr. Flores is aware of my following in his country, and felt that he had to do something in order to turn my readers against me. Let me say, in passing, that he underestimates the intelligence (and the faith) of my readers. As my readers well know, I am a "free-lance" writer, operating on a veritable shoestring. I do not belong to any organization, do my own research (which is a bone-wearing task since I also have a family to take care of), and I write my own material. If I have any questions regarding Canon Law or other Church matters with which I might need assistance, I have several priests wellversed in such matters on my mailing list who, although they live far away from me, can give me an answer rather quickly either through correspondence or a long-distance telephone call. As far as other writers are concerned, I am completely independent. What other writers put down on paper does not affect my own writing one whit! Many of my readers have visited with me and my family and they have found our hospitality warm and friendly. No doubt, that is why they keep paying us return visits.

At the top of both pieces of material, words are printed which give all recipients full permission to distribute as they will through reprinting. Besides the prestigious magazines and newspapers in Europe and South America, permission has also been given to the following: *The Voice* (I would hope that Mr. McGovern would not be so foolish); *World Trends* (I am offering prayers for the soul of Mr. Yves duPont who just passed away); *Servare* (I know only a little about this paper); and naturally, Father Bolduc, about whom I know quite a bit. A word of warning here, please. Let all those who take part in such a smear campaign make sure that they have some good excuses ready when they stand before Almighty God on judgment day!

Organizations are armed with BIG GUNS, and it is extremely difficult for any individual (especially, a mere woman) to be able to strike back (without lowering herself to a level beneath her dignity) in an attempt to restore her good name. Such an effort can be likened to trying to turn back a Sherman Tank with a mere pea-shooter. These BIG GUNS are, quite frankly, money and access, and I am equipped with neither. Organizations are very well-heeled financially, and they are run like a business. The heads of these organizations come in contact with all the right (powerful) people who can pave the way for the publishing and the circulation of their material in all the right magazines and newspapers which have a large readership. Mr. Flores, I am sure, knows all the right people! Now, why would Mr. Flores turn his BIG GUNS towards me and my little 4-page issue of Nov.-Dec., 1976? Well, within those four pages, I presented FACTS - facts which, I learned later, some of the cowardly men authors had been sitting on for a few months or more. My information came to my

attention through one of my readers who had received it from an extremely reliable source, a source which I checked and rechecked before using said information, and I sent out my issue earlier than usual in order that my readers would be aware. Let us just say that I "spilled the beans," and the Lefebvre "followers" are finding it very difficult to scoop up those "beans" and put them back into the "pot" without making a mess of everything. These people are not interested in facts! They are interested in cover-ups. A priest has written that he felt that the above-mentioned issue is the "most courageous" that I have ever written. That courage, it seems, is costing my good reputation - both as a person and as a true Catholic whose intentions have always been to save souls. prophesy, "They will deliver you up to the enemy" (in the latter days), "thinking they are doing a favor to God," seems to be coming true in my particular case.

In another part of his letter, Mr. Flores states the following:

"They" (we, the so-called 'conspirators') "tried to destroy" (notice that word) "the leadership that Father Saenz represented. Now they try to destroy the leadership that the doctrine" (?) "of Archbishop Lefebvre represents."

While Mr. Flores is on the subject of "doctrine" (and posing as a 'holier-than-thou' traditionalist), he might refresh his memory regarding the Eighth Commandment of Almighty God: "Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor," which is exactly what Mr. Flores is doing here. It is true that Father Saenz, at the time of his death, was in favor of the Lefebvre "movement," but Father Saenz was not aware of the facts which have recently come into our possession. So, let these people stop hiding behind the good name of Father Saenz – he would be the first to chastise them for lying about other Catholics. As for attempting to destroy the leadership of Lefebvre, that "leadership" (for whatever it is worth) was destroyed long ago – first by Lienhart (the 30th Degree Mason) and now by these "followers" of Lefebvre who have resorted to untruths in order to prop up the "movement" which is riddled with confusion and division among the leaders and followers. They all have a common leader but they do not all hold the faith to the same extent, nor do they all agree as to the way the "movement" should be run. But, above all, this phoney image of unity and strong faith must be upheld.

Since my name and address is printed very clearly at the bottom of the last page of each one of my issues, it would have been very easy for Mr. Flores to be gentlemanly enough to write a personal letter to me, asking me to explain (a little more in detail) my November–December, 1976 issue, and ask me for my credentials. After all, he knew where to send this package. But that is not the way smear campaigns are conducted, as my readers well know. There is more to Mr. Flores' letter, but I am running out of space and this will have to suffice. Now, let us turn our attention to Ms. Gloria Riestra.

I use the title Ms. Because I do not know whether she is Miss or Mrs.

Ms. Riestra's article is entitled: "*The Mary Lejeune Case*," and said article starts out with the following sentence:

"Here in Mexico we "(?) "would like to know, "Who is Mary Lejeune?"

If Ms. Riestra had an ounce of professionalism about her, she, too, would have written to me personally and I would have been happy to tell her who I am. But, like Mr. Flores, she does not operate that way. Ms. Riestra, like Mr. Flores, is attempting to preserve the image of the Lefebvre 'movement,' and she can't fight facts unless she slants my words that I wrote in my November--December, 1976 issue, and she has done that very efficiently in this article about me. She keeps referring to "her own opinion" which I have not given (certainly I did not write Canon Law). Everything I have ever written during the last 12 years in defense of the faith has been backed up with facts and these facts are re-checked over and over again before I put anything down on paper. Evidently Mr. Flores and Ms. Riestra do not take such painstaking care when they engage in writing. Ms. Riestra has been touted by Mr. Flores (in his letter to Mr. Beemster) as having written 8 books on Catholic doctrine. I am not impressed! I am not judging her books here since I have not read them. On the other hand, I have her untruthful article (on me) right beside me as I write, and I can make judgment on that!

Professionals do not have to slant, nor do they have to lie about other writers in order to put forth important points within their writings. Slanting (a form of lying) and outright lies are the lowest form of writing since it is akin to the use of semantics, and it was through the use of semantics that the Modernists were able to turn millions of formerly devout Catholics into members of the new "People of God" community.

Her eight books notwithstanding, Ms. Riestra is a very unprofessional critic since she has not quoted one word from my Nov–Dec., 1976 issue. Insstead, she has gone merrily on her way (evidently without even one twinge of conscience) using words that I have never used, slanting and twisting as she goes along. Ms. Riestra is very adept at using her own thoughts in the form of a question—these questions are left hanging in the air—and as the little "seeds" are planted her readers can form their own conclusions.

For instance, she has asked (as though talking to herself), "Does she obey the Canonic Rights of the True Church handing in her writings to a Catolic priest, or at least has she consulted one about the delicate themes that she touches?" The question is never answered by Ms. Riestra, and the doubt has accomplished its purpose. I have already answered that question on page 2 of this issue. Now, let me quote again:

"The impression that M. Lejeune's letter caused us here in Mexico about the defense" (which she says shouldn't be made of the Holy Mass, (my 12 years of writing has been in defense of the Mass) about her assertion (?) That no one should belong to a group that defends the Mass (if she is referring to the evil CUF and UNA VOCE to which I have referred), but more than that, her opinion (no way) about the ordination of Archbishop Lefebvre is that he is a person who has placed himself as a founder of a group under his own opinion, such as Luther, who by proposing a free interpretation of the Bible, the first thing that he did was impose his own interpretation. If Mary Lejeune judges with all the force of her opinion (we don't know how much force her opinion could have among the Catolics of the United States,) (Ms. Riestra's parenthetical phrase-that nobody should belong to any group,) then she shouldn't form one with her letters, because he who judges (I don't know what Ms. Riestra thinks she is doing, most untruthfully, I might add) necessarily forms a group favorable to his opinion."

I have the whole book on Canon Law to back up whatever I have said about the Lefebvre "movement. Ms. Riestra can choose to break those laws, or ignore them, if she so chooses. But let her not try to write as though those laws never existed.

Ms. Riestra then goes on to say that I have written (she uses the word "proposes") "that we do not accept those who really are Catholic priests who celebrate the True Sacraments." Let me say here that evidently my opinion of a true priest and Ms. Riestra's opinion are world's apart.

You bet I have told my readers not to join any organizations or "movements" because they have all been infiltrated-and that goes for the Lefebvre "movement" too! I am sick to death with these 'holy' militant leaders telling the little 'peons' to stand and fight, especially when these 'holy crusades' are hopeless and unworthy. If Ms. Riestra is not aware of the fact that a bloody revolution is about to be set off both in the U.S.A. and Mexico shortly, then she shouldn't be "Director" of anything since "directors" are supposed to keep up on such things. Having been politically active for 25 years or more, I have made it my business to read up on the way revolutions are both incited and waged. As a result of all this research, I know very well that, when the shooting starts and the blood starts to flow, it is these leaders who run for cover (through planned escape routes), leaving the aforementioned 'peons' to get their heads bashed in, and their bodies mutilated. The latter have been programmed to right, they have not been told when to run.

Ms. Riestra has stated that I have told my readers "to scorn" (notice that a word) "al" (?) "True priests, the True Sacraments, and the Mass." My readers know better than that, but Ms. Riestra's readers don't and so the lie will be spread. She hasn't quoted my exact words (how clever), she hasn't

even taken my quoted words out of context. She then continues again in the form of a question which she leaves hanging, casting doubts on my own situation while telling my readers to enter the "catacombs" quickly:

"Maybe she is close to what millions of faithfuls (sic) would like to have but don't the possibility of assisting at true Holy Mass; of receiving Christ in Sacrament, to receive the strength to fight for Him."

Ms. Riestra has been well-trained to do this "hatchet job" on my writings. I have written many times that I have been afforded the great privilege of attending Holy Mass and of receiving the Holy Sacraments only occasionally during the last eight years or more. I never ask my readers to do what I, myself, would not do, (we are in this right together), and I practice what I preach. In fact, I am searching (in vain, evidently) for a priest to perform the marriage ceremony for my dear daughter and her wonderful husband-to-be in May. I have put this problem in the hands of Our Lady.

Ms. Riestra states:

"Mary Lejeune proposes" (?) " in one word" (that would be quite an accomplishment) "that the visible church disappear."

I have not "proposed" at all. The visible church is actually disappearing more and more all the time - the Lefebvre "movement" notwithstanding. If Ms. Riestra is not aware of this fact (or wishes to ignore the situation), then she is just not the realist that I happen to be. I cannot, in good conscience, tell my readers that the Lefebvre "movement" will save the Mass and restore the Church. I know too much (and have known for a long time) about the Econe "business" – and it is a business. I have some readers who were not formerly sympathetic to my stand on the Econe situation, who have made visits there recently. These people have related to me some very strange tales, (of which I was already aware) about some very strange and powerful people connected with Econe. I have questioned these people as to why intelligent people supported Econe with such fanaticism since their intellects should tell them that all is not right over there. answered, to a person, that it is simply a matter of money. God help us! Evidently, some very wealthy people have invested in Econe, and they see their investments being swept onto "rocky shores" by those, (especially my November-December, 1976 issue) who dare to speak out against said movement.

I do not have the space to quote Ms. Riestra's views on Canon Law. However, she keeps mentioning "irregularities" which would make Sacraments still valid. Let me remind, both Ms. Riestra and all my readers, that excommunicated persons are not just guilty of an "irregularity," they are not even allowed to partake of the Sacraments, much less become consecrated bishops of the Mystical Body of Christ. She claims that we

must prove that Lienhart's intentions were false before coming to any conclusion on the subject. Canon 2335 states very clearly that a Catholic who joins the Masons is ipso facto excommunicated. A 30th Degree Mason does not believe in our God (the Most Blessed Trinity). He believes in the "Great Architect of the Universe," the pantheistic 'god' of Teilhard de Chardin, among others. Lienhart was validly ordained a priest since he was not a Mason at the time. By the same token, he would have been consecrated a bishop at the time of the consecration if (and this is not the case here) he had become a Mason after he became a bishop – he would have the power to ordain validly – even though illicitly. So much for that!

Ms. Riestra has offered her hand to me "in friendship" while, at the same time, she has all but destroyed my credibility as a writer in the defense of the faith. The Modernists have always used that approach, I am not taken in by it. Sadly, I say that I must refuse to take her hand in mine, especially since she has included me in her last sentence:

"The smoke of the devil is easier to distinguish now."

I could tell Ms. Riestra a few things about the devil - I have been doing battle with him for many years. Satan has used every means at his disposal in his attempt to stop this newsletter, but he has not been successful. Mr. Flores and Ms. Riestra may very well be giving him a helping hand these days. Frankly, he needs all the help he can get - from whatever source!

I will continue to tell my readers, over and over again, not to join any organization or "movement." I refuse to take back one word that I wrote in my November-December, 1976 issue. If some people are disturbed by my writings, then they do not have to read them. And that applies, also, to some of my formerly "loyal" priest-readers (from whom I have not heard this time) who are telling the people that I am wrong about their great "leader" and the whole "Econe" affair.

It seems strange that this "leader" of theirs waited until 1970 to start his "seminary" since the Holy Mass and the Sacraments were threatened long before that time. It seems strange, also, that Father Barbara waited until 1974 to declare the Novus Ordo invalid while we, the mere lay people, were writing pages and pages on said invalidity long before that time. There is a whole army of priests (the Father X's) who were so wrong about the Novus Ordo and Paul VI, that they dragged millions of Catholics into apostasy with them when they fell. These Catholics, today, to not have a "smidgeon" of faith left in their souls. So, priests do not have a very good "track record" for seeing danger ahead of them, and they have not been the greatest "defenders of the Faith" in this long battle against Satan for souls.

Actually, I am beginning to feel a little sorry for Lefebvre. Even if he wanted to get away from the whole confusing business of "Econe," I doubt that he would be able to do so. I know Church "politics" when I see them, and there is a whole heap of politics mixed in with this "holiness" regarding the "Econe" affair.

The truth is very frightening, but then I am in the business of telling the truth—the whole truth. I have never lied to my readers, nor have I ever slanted the truth...I deal in facts. I happen to be one of those Catholics who fears the judgment of Almighty God.

And so, dear readers, be careful; be joyful (and humble) in the knowledge that you still have the faith. Watch and pray and, for heaven's sake, BE STILL!

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 Jananuary—February, 1977

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth March-April, 1977

Canon Law Protects Us From Falling Into Schism

During all the years that I have been defending the truth against Paul VI and his renegades who had taken over the Vatican, I was at a distinct disadvantage because I dealt in facts, and the other side ignored the facts which I had presented. The same exasperating situation presented itself whenever I would attempt to get through to the so-called "moderates" (who are now at the helm of the Econe Movement) who kept yapping about Paul VI's being the "Holy Father" and that he must be obeyed. These people were no better than the new "People of God" since they accepted the Latin Novus Ordo. In March-April, 1973, I wrote the issue, The Unchangeable Church, wherein I pointed out to them that it was the new "offertory" which made a mockery of Paul VI's having retained the true Consecration words. It was this particular issue which brought me a host of readers who suddenly, upon reading the part about the new "offertory" (Jewish Prayer Before Meals), who quickly became "refugees" from the Novus Ordo and who are today descending into the catacombs where they are finding much peace of soul. The issue referred to did absolutely nothing for the moderates who kept pointing out that it was "the priest's intention" which counted in order to make this Novus Ordo (the abomination of desolation) valid. Then we have been treated, over the years, to reams of ridiculous statements by the moderate authors. They told their readers that their Paul VI was not responsible for what had happened to the Church - it was those nasty bishops who wouldn't obey the "Holy Father" who were the cause of everything. We were told that certain statements attributed to Paul VI were untrue (or misstated); the documents that Paul VI had signed were forged; he, himself, was a weeping "prisoner" and the last attempt to prop him up came when they wrote that Paul VI had a "double," and that it was this "double" who was the villain. The moderates wasted many years voicing (and writing) about this dribble while souls cried out for the truth and for peace of conscience. These are the same moderates who are propping up Lefebvre in the same manner (by ignoring facts) while, at the same time pledging allegiance to Paul VI and refusing to say that the Novus Ordo is an abomination of desolation and absolutely invalid.

The "Econe" writers keep putting forth the phrase that "Once a bishop (Lienart) always a bishop and a bishop never loses his powers." This is true. But these people need a refresher course in basic mathematics. I have written this before, but I think it bears repeating so that this time there will be no doubt.

Annibale Lienart was ordained in 1907, so far so good. But then we have him entering the Masonic Lodge in Cambria in 1912. So, it was in the year 1912 while he was still a mere priest that the excommunication (Canon Law 2335) took place. Did he repent of this action of joining the Masons? Of course he didn't. On the contrary, he kept rising in the ranks of Freemasonry until he hit the 30th Degree (1924) while he was still a mere priest—not a bishop. The date of his so-called "consecration" was 1928. Now, I will ask just one simple

question here. Can an excommunicated priest become a bishop of the Holy Roman Catholic Church? The answer is obvious—he cannot. Lienart never went any further than the priesthood, and certainly a priest does not have the power to ordain anyone else. Canon Law states very firmly that an excommunicated priest cannot rise to any other rank in the Church, even his Masses are sacrilegious.

As of this writing, I have approximately 475 readers who are 100% behind me regarding the Econe Movement, and I can live happily with that number. The others are still sitting "on the fence," awaiting "further developments," before they make up their minds. May I remind these readers that it was because many priests and laymen awaiting "further developments," as far as the so-called "changes" of Vatican Council II were concerned, that caused them to fall into schism as the little-bylittle brainwashing took hold of their minds and their consciences, and caused them to become incapable of thinking for themselves. Schism is schism whether or not it comes from the left or from the right. We have perfect examples of this in the St. Jovite (Canada) and the Palmar de Troya (Spain) escapades. These are both examples of humans starting out, with good intentions, to combat the so-called "abuses" within the Hierarchy. I have been given information regarding the real story on Clemente (Palmar de Troya). He was really an authentic seer at one time who was told by Our Lord to enter into seclusion and propagate the devotion to the Holy Face. This documentation states:

"For a period of more than four years, Clemente received very important doctrinal messages, authentic communications from Heaven."

But Clemente did not do as Our Lord had bidden him. Instead, he founded the "Order of Mt. Carmel of the Holy Face" (clearly against Canon Law), and his pride got the best of him. In establishing this "Order," he used the Rules and Constitutions of the Franciscan Minims. Now, there can never be any exchange of members between religious Orders. For instance, a Franciscan cannot jump into the Dominicans. It is not possible to switch back and forth between Orders. Since Clemente did not do God's will, God took away his powers as a true seer. Very soon, Clemente was giving out "messages" which were of his own making, and which have been proven false. In one of his "messages," he claimed that Our Lady had told him "to ordain priests" and that Our Lady had even told him whom he should ordain. He prevailed upon Archbishop Martin Ngo Dinh Tuuc, a former Archbishop of Hue, and exild brother of the assassinated President of South Vietnam, Ng Din Diem, to carry out these so-called "messages" from Our Lady. The Archbishop was made head of the "Carmelites of the Holy Face." Five men were ordained (Christmas Day, 1975). One Sunday, January 11, 1976, these five "priests" were "consecrated" bishops, including Clemente Dominguez himself. All of this, of course, was against Canon Law. After the "consecrations" were performed, Archbishop Ngo left Palmar de Troya. As a "bishop," Clemente Dominguez assumed leadership of the group and the "Order." On January 27, 1976, Clemente, as "Superior" performed more "ordinations" and "consecrations." One of these "bishops" is Peter Fox, a young man in his twenties. Church Law says that, in order to become a Bishop, a priest must be at least 30 years old and a priest for at least 5 years. Canon Law was broken at every turn. From this documentation, I know that these young men who were "ordained" and, in turn, made bishops did not have the required theological studies; they did not receive the minor orders at the specified intervals; therefore, they were not validly ordained. At the "consecrations," the Archbishop was not assisted by two other bishops (another infringement of Canon Law). Invalid or illicit – call it what you wish – these "ordinations" and "consecrations" were performed contrary to the norms of the Roman Catholic Church. Archbishop Ngo has since repented, and we must thank God for this. Now let us go back to the Econe Movement.

We are being told, over and over again, that the purpose of the Econe Movement is not to start a new "church," but to save the True Church. These leaders, of course, are living in some sort of fantasy-land. They are, most definitely, setting up a new "church" on the ashes of the True Church, and this, dear readers, is out-and-out schism.

It is always very sad when friends, of many years standing, come to the parting of the ways, but it seems that Mr. Hugh McGovern, (*The Voice*) and I have arrived at that point. The rift between us is our differences of opinion as far as the Econe Movement is concerned. He is, of course, entitled to his opinion as I am entitled to mine. Nevertheless, I regret the fact that we have drifted away from each other.

In his February 28, 1977 issue of *The Voice*, Mr. McGovern tells us that "Econe alone has just added, at a cost of \$800,000, two modern five-story wings and can accommodate 120 seminarians. It has a cloister of nuns in Rome, six priories in France, two in England and others in Switzerland, Germany, Italy, Austria and the United States, and more will open next year." He tells us that this "Society" has communities in these eight countries and assets worth \$5,000,000 "and the moral and financial support of tens of thousands of Catholics around the world." Those tens of thousands are, of course, of the 'moderate' stripe who are bent upon enticing the members of the Remnant" into this mish-mash of building, ordaining and forming "orders," etc. REMNANT BEWARE!

In his editorial in *The Voice*, Mr. McGovern states that "we need bishops" and of course we certainly do. But not the kind that will come out of the Econe Movement. Mr. McGovern bewails the fact that Lefebvre is in his seventies, and might die without consecrating some successors. That state of affairs, in Mr. McGovern's opinion, would put an end to the Apostolic Succession in the Church. Then he continues:

"And even worse, the Priesthood would come to a dead halt and within 50 years could end."

Not a word about God's providence—the Apostolic Succession evidently depends solely upon what action Lefebvre chooses to take. The priesthood would end in 50 years? Let me say, very emphatically here, that the survival of the Royal Priesthood of Christ (and the Apostolic Succession) does not depend upon any human leader — most especially not Lefebvre whose own background leaves much to be desired. Christ has promised us that His Church would endure, but certainly not in this fashion.

Mr. McGovern then states:

"The matter of licitness of his (Lefebvre's) Consecrations should under the given circumstances be of absolutely no importance."

I disagree! I would not attend Mass and receive the Holy Sacraments from any priests who were ordained illicitly, and I would not accept a bishop who was consecrated illicitly. Actually, from the information I have in my possession, I do not feel that much of anything coming out of Econe is even valid – let alone licit, and anyone who disagrees with me can tell me so, and we will part company by mutual consent.

In his Voice News, McGovern states as follows:

"We might in all modesty point out the high value of *The Voice* as a gift" (he is speaking of Gift subscriptions here). "What with the uncertain future of World Trends due to the death of Yves Dupont" (another Lefebvre follower, God rest his soul) "and the defection of *Veritas* and two others (he didn't have to give our names – my readers caught on) "Traditionalist publications in the U.S., *The Voice* is the only dependable Traditionalist publication in the English-speaking world."

This is what Mr. McGovern feels is speaking "in all modesty?" Mr. William Strojie and I have definitely not defected!! Speaking for myself, I refuse to follow the Econe Movement for a great many reasons—one of which is that there seems to be a lot of questionable characters connected with said Movement. I am attempting to hold the "remnant" forces together in the face of the Econe Movement whose leaders seem bent on leading them astray. I do not care from which side my adversaries come—I will continue to tell my readers to stay away from the Econe Movement just as strongly as I attempted to tell all those "fuzzy-minded" Catholics to stay away from the Paul VI "church."

The "powers-that-be: within the Masonic Novus Ordo "church" of Paul VI are busily preparing "revisions" to Canon Law. We, who have been through it all before, now very well that when Paul VI and his co-horts speak of "revisions" they

are, in reality, telling all those who understand semantics (including myself) that they are about to throw the Sacred Canons into the wastebasket and write a brand new set which will match their Novus Ordo and their new set of 'sacraments.' It is a very logical step for these evil people to take. No longer will they have to bend or break Canon Law as they have so arrogantly done in the past. When Paul VI's "commission" of so-called "experts" have finished their dastardly job, all of the barriers will come down and all hell will break loose! What Almighty God thinks about all this is of no concern to these poisoners of the faith. Paul VI, in a recent speech to the members of the Roman Rota, (composed of a bunch of Masons, no doubt), has stated:

"The Code of Canon Law now under revision must, when completed, serve and not dominate the people."

More freedom for the new "People of God."

On all sides of us, dear readers, we have "leaders" who are willing to bend or break Canon Law. As I have stated before, Canon Law protects Divine Law. It would behoove these leaders of the Econe Movement, who have taken the great Pope St. Pius X as their patron, to take pause long enough to realize that it was upon the orders of Pope St. Pius X that the Code of Canon Law became coordinated. If they are under the banner of this great Pope, then they should (more than anyone else) make sure that they abide by the Canon Laws which he felt (were) so necessary for the welfare of the Church. Let us look at the history of Canon Law for a moment:

There are 2414 Canons in the Code. These are arranged in five books dealing, respectively, with general norms (Normae generales), with persons (De personis), with things (De rebus), with trials and hearings (De precessibus), and with offenses and their punishment (De delictis et poenis).

On March 19, 1904, Pope St. Pius X, in his motu propri Arduum sane, announced his intention to provide for the preparation of a code in which should be gathered "with order and clearness all the laws of the Church." The most brilliant minds of the Church were called in and a commission composed of cardinals and canonists set about to accomplish this order of Pope St. Pius X. By the year 1917, the mission was accomplished and the Code of Sacred Canons was promulgated on the Feast of Pentecost, May 27, 1917, by the constitution of Pope Benedict XV (1914-22) entitled, Providentissima Mater Ecclesia. It was to become effective on Pentecost of the following year, May 19, 1918.

I have used as one of my sources the book *The Sacred Canons*, written by Msgr Abbo, who, at the time, was professor of Moral Theology and Canon Law at Conception Abbey, Conception, Missouri, and the Rev. Dr. Jerome Hannan, a member of the faculty of Canon Law of the

Catholic University of America. From their pens, we read the following:

"The science of Canon Law is a sacred science, and one that is analytical, historical, synthetic, and practical since it aims at such a full knowledge of ecclesiastical laws, in their authentic meaning, their historical evolution, and their systematic interrelation, as to ensure exactness in their application to concrete cases."

Canon Law has been a part of the Church since the Fourth Council of Toledo in 633. A.D. In the aforementioned book, we are given documentary sources, as follows:

"Since 1918, the Code has been the documentary source of Canon Law. Pre-Code sources can be classified, according to their chronological order, into three troups:

- 1) collection of canons preceding Gratian, 1141 A.D. Gratian was a Camaldolese monk of Tuscan origin who wrote **Decretum magistri Gratiani**, a collection of Canons.
- 2) collection of laws made between the time of Gratian and that of the Council of Trent, 1545-1563 A.D.
- 3) collection of laws made between the time of the Council of Trent and the Code, 1917. A.D.

There we have it-at lease enough to know that these Canons are not laws that are to be taken lightly-especially the ones which have the penalty of excommunication attached to them regarding Catholics (especially priests) joining Freemasonry. These Econe "followers" who keep looking up Canon Law on "intention," should be also studying the other side of the coin. They should look up the Oath that a 30th Degree Mason takes, and then read the words of the Oath that a bishop-elect takes upon Consecration as a bishop. Put these two oaths side-byside and even a fool could realize that the two oaths are absolutely incompatible. All the great Popes who have spoken out against Freemasonry have told us that the Freemasonry Oath supersedes all other oaths in the minds of the Masons-it is one of their laws and infringement of this Masonic law brings severe punishments down on the heads of Masons who forget to whom their loyalties belong.

And so, dear readers, stay with your prayers and abandon yourselves to Christ at the foot of the Cross. The Catholic Church belongs to Him, and He will need many true "soldiers" later who will be strong in faith, and unafraid of whatever persecutions will come their way. Our faith will remain strong through His grace, and we will receive this grace if only we pray for it.

St. Teresa of Avila has said:

"There is but one road which reaches God and that is prayer. If anyone shows you another, you are being deceived."

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 March, April, 1977

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth May-June, 1977

The Enemy Within the Gates

I had chosen another subject for this issue, but since so many readers have asked me to relate to them something on the Oath that a bishop-elect takes at the time of Consecration, and then compare said Oath with the beliefs and intentions of 30th Degree Masons, I have decided to abide by their wishes. So, let us get on with it—as painful as it might be.

First of all, the bishop-elect must restate *The Profession of Faith*, and renew the *Oath Against Modernism*. The following are just a few of the questions contained in the Rite of Consecration. They will be enough to give my readers an idea of the awesome responsibilities for the welfare of souls with a bishop-elect undertakes as he goes through the process of Consecration

Question: Will you receive, keep and teach with reverence the traditions of the orthodox Fathers and the decretal constitution of the Holy and Apostolic See?

Response: I will.

Question: Will you, as far as your human frailty shall allow, always be given up to divine affairs and abstain from worldly matters and sordid gains?

Response: I will.

Question: Do you also anathematize every heresy shall shall arise against this Holy Catholic Church?

Response: I do anathematize it.

Now the *Profession of Faith* was instituted by the teachings of the Council of Trent, and, until Montini (Paul VI came into power, it was taken (both orally and in writing) by every Catholic Cardinal, Bishop and Priest. This order was promulgated by the Bull of Pope Pius IV *Iniunctum Nobus*, dated November 13, 1565. Here is part of it.

"Besides I accept, without hesitation, and profess all that has been handed down, defined, and declared by the Sacred Canons and by the general Councils, especially by the SACRED COUNCIL OF TRENT and by the First Vatican Council, and in a special manner concerning the PRIMACY AND THE INFALLIBILITY OF THE ROMAN PONTIFF.

"At the same time, I condemn, reject and damn everything contrary to those teachings as well as any other heresy ever condemned, rejected or damned by the Church.

"This same true Catholic Faith without which nobody can be saved, which I now freely profess and to which I truly adhere, the same I, ___{name}___, promise, vow, and swear to

maintain and profess with the help of God, entire, inviolate, and with firm constancy until the last breath of life."

"AND I SHALL STRIVE AS FAR AS LIES IN MY POWER, THAT THIS SAME FAITH SHALL BE HELD, TAUGHT, AND PUBLICLY PROFESSED BY ALL THOSE UNDER MY AUTHORITY OR ENTRUSTED TO MY RESPONSIBILITY.

"This, I. N.N._____ promise, vow, and swear. So help me God and these God's Holy Gospels which I touch with my hand.

And then, the Seal is applied and signed by a "priest Witness."

We turn now to *The Oath Against the Errors of Modernism*, from the *Motu Proprio* of Pius X *Sacrorum Antistitum*, September 1, 1910. Part of this Anti-Modernist Oath contains the following:

"Fourthly, I sincerely receive the doctrine of faith which the Orthodox Fathers have transmitted to us from the Apostles, always in the same sense and meaning. And, therefore, I reject absolutely the false and heretical view of the evolution of dogmas, according to which, dogmas may change meanings so as to receive a different sense from that which the Church at first attached to them.

In another part we have the following:

"And finally and in a general manner, I declare that I am completely free from that error of the modernists which claim that there is nothing Divine in Sacred Tradition or, which is worse by far, admits as Divine element in a pantheistic sense (Masonry), so that nothing remains but the vare and simple fact, in no way distinguishable from the common fact of history; namely, the fact that men through their work, skill and talents are continuing through successive ages that school established by Christ and the Apostles.

"Accordingly, I hold with the greatest firmness, and will continue to maintain, until my last breath, the faith of the Fathers concerning the sure criterion of the truth, which is, was and always will reside in the Episcopate transmitted by Apostolic succession, in such a way that the absolute and unchanging truth preached from the beginning by the Apostles shall never be believed nor understood in any other sense.

"I engage myself to observe all those things faithfully, integrally and sincerely, to guard them inviolate, and never to depart from them whether in my teaching or in any other way by my words or my writings. I hereby bind myself solemnly and swear: May God help me in His Holy Gospels."

A 30th Degree Mason becomes a member of the Order of the Knight Kadosch (sometimes spelled "Kadosh") which is a part of the Illuminati. In order to enter the 30th Degree, he must go through the Rose Croix. In the Rose Croix, there are evil "ceremonies" during which the Crucifix and the Holy Eucharist are desecrated. From his history, we learn that

Achille Lienart was a "visitor" in the Rose Croix (18th Degree) in 1919, and went on to become a Knight Kadosch in 1924, 4 years before he took the Oath (however illegally) of Consecration as a Bishop.

Let us pause here a moment and remember that in the Rite of Consecration, the bishop-elect promises to "abstain from worldly matters and sordid gains." So, let us shoot over and see what the so-called 'catechism' of the Order of Knight Kadosch contains.

From the many books on Freemasonry, in my possession, we find the following excerpt from said catechism: (italics in original)

"Do you fully understand that this degree is *not*, like much of so-called Masonry, a sham that means nothing and amounts to nothing...that what you are *now* engaged in is *real*, will require the performance of duty, will exact *sacrifice*, will expose you to *danger*, and that this Order means to deal with the *affairs of nations*, and be once more a *Power* in the world."

In his "Cours d'initians" (1842), Ragon writes:

"The Hebrew word, Kadosch, signifies *saint*, consecrated and purified. It must not be thought by that that the Knights of the White and Black Eagle have any pretensions to sanctity. They wish to express by this word that they alone are the *elect men par excellence*, purified of all the *dregs of Prejudices*."

So, Lienart was "purified," and became a man "par excellence" within the ranks of Freemasonry while still a mere priest in 1924. In 1929 (on the Feast of the Immaculate Conception of the Mother of God), this evil man with his soul drenched in the teachings of the Illuminati, appeared piously before Pope Pius XI and (with full knowledge of what he was doing) answered the questions contained in the Rite of Consecration, and renewed both the *Profession of Faith* and the *Oath Against Modernism*, no doubt without a qualm of conscience.

I do not care one whit about what my critics think about me these days. When these critics write and tell me that "nobody has the right to judge his intentions since we simply do not know what his intensions were," I find my mind boggling a little at the thought that these "holier-than-thou" traditionalists (not to be confused with the "remnant") could even suggest that a priest who is a Knight of Kadosch could be consecrated validly as a true Bishop of the Holy and Apostolic Church. These "traditionalists" only believe what they want to believe, and they keep forgetting the role that the Holy Ghost plays in the validity or invalidity of the Sacraments. Are we to believe that the Holy Ghost would validly allow a priest such as Lienart to be consecrated a true Bishop of the True Church which He, as God, guides and watches over? Let us see, as I quote from a little booklet, "Notes on the Holy Ghost" written

by the Rev. Father Charles E. Coughlin many years ago, as follows:

"It is He Who makes of a creature-person a son or daughter of God at baptism. It is He Who lifts the hand of the priest and forms the words in a priest's mouth with the latter says, 'Ego te absolvo' in the confessional box. It is He Who empowers the bishop to transform a young man into an Alter Christus with the faculty to say Mass, to administer the Sacraments and to teach. It is He Who stands between the bride and groom on the morning of their wedding and holds their hands and hearts together as He pours over them, through their own contractual words, the graces of Matrimony.... It is He Who is constantly renewing the face of the accursed earth. He gives germination to every seed, blossom to every tree and life to every robin's egg. He, the Father of life, of fruitfulness and of plenty. It is He Who convicts the world of sin and permits, through the logical processes of justice and evolution, the irregular designs and policies and practices of evil to destroy themselves. It is He Who is the Paraclete, the Advocate, the Prosecuting Attorney Who empowers His friends to slay the dragon of Satan innumerable times throughout life. It is He Who, as Prosecuting Attorney, requires witnesses and evidences to take into court at the Final Judgment when Satan and his cohorts and followers will be found guilty and will be cast eternally to perdition. It is He Who, preparing for that day in court, is amassing testimony, I repeat, and assembling witnesses to bear evidence against the Father of Lies, the Assassin of Christ, the Hater of Love."

Then we read words which should put hope in the hearts of the "remnant" during these dreadful latter times:

"The Holy Ghost is your abiding constant Companion and Friend Who knows how to joy when you joy, to sorrow when you sorrow, to understand every phase and motion of your body and soul. Constant Companion. Constant Friend. Omnipotent In-Dweller. Awake as you go forth to fight the most romantic battle in existence for the vindication of Christ! Walk hand in hand with your Captain and your Leader, the Holy Ghost."

Yes, indeed. Is it any wonder that, when my readers are confused and must make decisions, I tell them to say the Rosary in honor of the Holy Ghost, assuring them that He will (through the Lady of Light, Spouse of the Holy Ghost) give them the grace to make the right decision.

A reader has sent me the monthly publication *Alive* From the diocese of Phoenix, Arizona. Said publication is dated April, 1977, and is dedicated to the 'installation' of Bishop James S. Rausch as bishop of that diocese. One picture shows him piously meditating and on the opposite page we see all the bishops (weird symbols on their clothing) "concelebrating the installation Mass" (as only these "mod" 'bishops' know how). On the front of the 'tablecloth' we see written in bold letters, 'I

BELIEVE IN THE SUN" with a picture of the sun and more weird symbols around the bottom. Let me remind my readers that in Freemasonry there is the 28th Degree—Knight of the Sun! We can understand perfectly why Bishop Rausch was at the forefront during the latest Bishops' meeting prattling the words, "Let anyone remarry!" (Chicago Tribune, May 4, 1977). He was speaking of those Catholics who had divorced and remarried and felt "isolated" from the Church. I have read article after article on this subject and found not one word regarding the fact that the inability for Catholics to divorce and remarry is God's Law, and cannot be changed by any human being. What do these bishops care about God's Lawsthey who "love the Sun" and believe in the "Great Architect of the Universe," (Cardinal Cooke-as he recently appeared before a Masonic delegation)? When we have Montini, their leader, meeting with the evil Mondale (who would be happy to see every child taken from its mother and put into communistcontrolled day nurseries); when we hear him "discussing the problems facing Rome," with the Communist Mayor, Carlo Guilio Argan, and when we see him addressing a delegation of the B'nai B'rith Antidefamation League, (November 25, 1976), we then know how bad the infiltration of Freemasonry into the Hierarchy really is. On that day, Montini told this latter group that he "is deeply satisfied with improvements in Jewish-Christian relations." You bet he is! Let me remind my readers that the B'nai B'rith is, also, part of the ILLUMINATI! A reader recently sent me a picture of Montini with an Eagle imprinted on his head-covering. The Eagle should go well with the Ephod of Caiphas which Montini wears around his miserable neck!

From an irrefutable source, we learned recently that John XXIII was initiated into the Knights Templar Order of Freemasonry in 1935. Now we know why he took the name of the anti-pope John XXIII (1410-1415). In their latest issue (April, 1977, *Veritas* states:

A Canadian reports more Freemasons in the hierarchy. Anyone ordained by Paul Emile Leger had better check out his background and their own canonical status."

And so, the unmasking goes on and on as I knew it would eventually!

On the one hand, we have this unmasking going on within Montini's new "People of God" church (Freemasonry is an actual "church" since they have their own hierarchy, their own 'services,' and their own set of 'sacraments), we have, on the other hand, Lefebvre and his henchmen setting up another "church" of their own in the name of "traditionalism" Both "churches" are the work of Satan. The Montinian "church" uses (blatantly, I might add) the Masonic "Mass" (Novus Ordo) and all the new "sacraments" reek of Freemasonry—one example being the new "baptism" wherein the members are "initiated into the community of the People of God." This "novus ordo" took care of the majority of Catholics who were

spiritually slothful and never realized what was happening to them. The Lefebvre "church" is using a different tactic—using the Holy Mass and the True Sacraments in order to attract the "remnant" and causing them to fall headlong into schism.

Some Lefebvre "followers" have suggested that I should have kept quiet about Lienart's background since I have "caused great distress among those who were attending Mass celebrated by those who had been ordained by Lefebvre." Sorry about that! These people seem to lose sight of the fact that I am not responsible for all these evil Masons becoming members of the Hierarchy, however invalidly. Those others who have suggested that I have "given scandal to very pious souls," might read the little quotation I place at the top of all my issues—given to us by the glorious Pope St. Gregory the Great—and to which I am committed to follow as I write the pages of this newsletter. Let others bury the truth rather than "cause great distress," but I refuse to do that. Anyhow, nobody said it was easy being a true Catholic?

Awhile back, I received a booklet from a reader. It is entitled, *Brotherhood of the Illuminati* and it is written by the Freemasons themselves. It is published in London, England and within the pages we read all about the so-called "Pre-Nicene Church" (Arianism) which these Freemasons set up in October, 1953 while we Catholics were praying and acting like Catholics, unaware of the fact that a Masonic revolution was about to come down upon our unsuspecting heads. Let me quote, please:

"This Church was formed in October, 1953, with the objects of carrying on the true Catholic Tradition and the original 'Mysteries of Jesus' and the Gnosis of the Soul. Candidates for the Priesthood (which would maintain the traditions) must have completed three years' probation in the Brotherhood of the Illuminati; they are required to have a thorough knowledge and understanding of the Gnostic Church for the first three centuries of the Christian Era. PRIVATE CHAPELS WILL BE ESTABLISHED AS AND WHEN THE NEED ARISES."

So, these "candidates" will have Arianism in their hearts while they carry on the "true Catholic Tradition." No wonder these secret Masons are so well-versed in the use of semantics.

All over this country of ours, sincere and faithful Catholics have spent their hard-earned money building "chapels" and schools" only to have them taken away from them by a whole bevy of "troops" whose intentions were anything but sincere. When some brave members of the "remnant" approached the priest-leaders of these "troops," they were insulted and in some cases man-handled. This is Catholicism? No way, dear readers. Some of the best and holiest priests—true priests in every way—have been man-handled by some members of these "congregations" and have been falsely smeared unmercifully. Some have been accused of being false priests. Actually, it only took one little rumor to put doubts in the minds of the

"faithful" (who were not all that faithful to begin with) and these priests were banished. How strange it is that the "faithful" accepted the false prists who moved in (in some instanaces) and took over these movements. Is it any wonder that the majority of priests on my mailing list became disgusted with all kinds of "movements" and went underground—away from all the confusion and the smearing of their good names?

For some time now, I have been wanting to write about Canon Law 18 which deals with the EPIKEIA interpretation of Canon Law. Some Lefebvre "followers" are so sure that this interpretation applies to the so-called, "Society of St. Pius X," allowing Lefebvre and his co-leaders to set up what is, emphatically, a new "church" alongside the "Novus Ordo" church of Montini. The EPIKEIA interpretation does not apply to Lefebvre's "Movement." Let us see what the Book on Canon Law, written by T. Lincoln Bouscaren, S.J. and Adam C. Ellis, S.J., (1949), p. 33:

"Epikeia. Epikeia is an interpretation exempting one from the law contrary to the clear words of the law and in accordance with the mind of the legislator. It is evidently a very exceptional thing. It may be used with prudent discretion, and is justified, only in a particular case where: (a) the strict interpretation of the law would work a great hardship; and (b) in view of the unusual interpretation, it may be prudently conjectured that, in this particular case, the legislator would not wish the law to be strictly applied."

The so-called "itinerant" priest who travels far and wide, attempting to reach spiritually-starved, good Catholic souls along the way (many of whom have not had the sacraments for a long time) would be covered by the Epikeia interpretation. In times of such persecution (as we are presently enduring), boundary lines crossed by these priests should not be as important as the spiritual comfort these priests are bringing to these souls, especially in the case of the Sacrament of Penance wherein a priest might find sould in the state of mortal sin. As long as Holy Mother Church has afforded us precedents, we are on pretty safe ground, and She has as far as "itinerant" priests are concerned. St. Edmund Campion (one of the 40 martyrs during the Protestant Reformation) was an "itinerant" priest going from place to place to celebrate the Holy Mass and afford as many souls as possible the graces of the Sacraments. By the way, he was betrayed by an "infiltrator" into one of his groups. Then we have Father Pro, another martyr, during the Mexican Revolution who also went from place to place under various disguises. In The Life of Commodore Barry, by Benson, we have much information regarding the grave Irish priests who risked their lives for souls. But these priests did not start "movements" such as we are seeing erected today. I would like to warn every true priest who is operating out in the open (thinking that they are still living in a free country) that they are in extreme physical danger because, whether they realize it or not, they are literally

surrounded by the enemy posing as "holier-than-thou" Catholics. This will be my last warning to them! The "itinerant" priests on my mailing list practically live out of their cars, returning occasionally to rented quarters which consist of no more than one or two rented rooms. They reach many souls and are in firm control whenever some hot-headed members of the laity start causing trouble. Most of the socalled "movements" operating around the country are controlled by the lay people (complete with By-Laws) and the priest must do their bidding or else he is given his "walking papers" and smeared to boot! One priest-reader (who is now completely underground and away from it all) told me that "...the (lay people) even constantly insisted that it was their right to edit my sermons." When, I ask you, did the Catholic Church ever give lay people the right to edit a priest's sermon? These people are the ones who claim that they "love the Mass" while at the same time they show great disrespect toward the priest who is celebrating it for them. As one reader has put it, "We have the Mass and the Sacraments but there isn't any Catholic spirituality here." Others have told me of the constant back-biting and squabbling going on among the lay people within certain "groups." These are the very people who keep telling me that I am "against the Mass" and that this newsletter of mine is "dividing the people." I would say that these 'power-hungry' lay people (who do not have an ounce of charity in their hearts) are the ones who are "dividing the people" and that they do not deserve the Holy Mass, that Great Sacrifice which should bring peace and comfort (not backbiting and bitterness) to all who have the great privilege of attending it in these latter times when there are precious few True Masses being celebrated anywhere. Those within the Lefebvre "movement" (both priests and laity) seem to be the worst of the lot. No doubt, this is because said "movement" has been erected upon a very shaky foundation-a Masonic priest 'ordaining' its leader. A priest has written as follows:

"The Lefebvre Movement was aborted by Lienart before it was even started." So be it!

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune
809 Lehigh Road
Newark, Delaware 19711
May-June, 1977
The Sword of Furth The Sword of Furth The Sword of Furth The Sword of Furth The Sword of T

The Sword of Truth July-August, 1977 [not received yet]

(Title)

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth T

The Sword of Truth September-October, 1977

Detente With The Devil

While I was on vacation (actually, I had a rather hectic summer), Marcel Lefebvre, together with his co-leaders and followers, were having a busy time of it in Texas. After the "consecration" of the Chapel there, the reporters questioned Lefebvre's emotionally-charged followers to whom the external Catholic "trappings" mean everything. Reporters heard words such as "it was glorious," "truly Catholic," and "so holy and reverent," etc. No matter that, as Lefebvre was operating with all due pomp and ceremony, he was engaging in further detente with the imposter Montini (alias Paul VI). He might as well be engaging in detente with the devil himself.

As Lefebvre berated Montini and "the Vatican" with one side of his mouth, he also claimed:

"I am not against the pope in any way," (you bet he isn't). "I am for the Pope" (Lefebvre recognizes Montini as such). "But the attitude of the Vatican against us does not come from the Holy Ghost. It comes from a bad spirit. The orientation that began with Second Vatican Council is making us Protestants." (*Philadelphia Inquirer*, *July 11*, 1977).

Now that is real "double-talk," especially so since Lefebvre signed all of the documents of Vatican Council II.

In the above-mentioned article, Lefebvre tells reporters, present at the "consecration," that he has written another letter to Montini, asking him for another audience. He said that he was again "asking the Pope to allow all bishops" (Montini has neither the power to forbid nor allow), "the option of permitting the Tridentine Mass in their dioceses." Says he, "If the Pope were to agree to such a concession, it would be a major step toward satisfying the traditionalists."

In the first place, these followers of Lefebvre are not traditionalists in the true sense of the word. They are the same old moderates who, for years, have been defending the Jew-Mason Montini, thus interfering with true defense of the Mass by those of us who found out a long time ago about Montini, and haven't hesitated to expose him whenever we had the chance. These are the ones who listened to all the moderate self-professed "leade5rs" who found a ready platform for all their views within the pages of *The Wanderer, The Remnant* and all the other moderate papers. To the moderates, there is no difference between the True Mass and the Latin Novus Ordo, if they can't find the former, the latter will do. These people have finally found themselves a leader in the person of

Marcel Lefebvre and have accepted him as their "Archbishop." All I can say is that they all deserve one another—they will fall into schism together. And that goes for those priest-readers of mine who were loyal to me for years, and who now consider me to be their enemy.

Father Hector Bolduc (who seems to be Lefebvre's "chief' here in the United States) told reporters in Texas that "the traditionalist group is still committed to remain in the Roman Catholic Church, under Rome, under the Pope." (*El Paso Times, July 9, 1977.*) When he speaks of the "Pope he is, of course, referring to Montini.

As we listen to these words from the mouths of Lefebvre and Bolduc, we can only conclude that they are aiming for some sort of "coalition" church. They are, in fact, telling Montini that he can do his "thing" as long as he gives them permission to do theirs. This, of course, might "satisfy" the moderates, the "Mass at any price" people, but Almighty God will place an anathema upon such an arrangement.

In the July 18, 1977 issue of *The Remnant*, there was printed an article by a certain Mr. Christopher P. Browne (the article originally appeared in the *Davenport Catholic Messenger*). We are warned by Mr. Walter Matt, Editor of *The Remnant* that Mr. Browne cannot be considered to be a "traditionalist" – in fact he is a liberal. But no matter. According to Mr. Matt, Mr. Barowne has something to offer that is worth pondering. And what does Mr. Browne suggest? Well, he points to the various Eastern Rites which are in communion with Rome. Says Mr. Browne:

"These Eastern rites have autonomous administrations, which means that they are not subject to the local Latin Rite bishop. Their liturgies are many and different from that of the Latin rite. They administer the Sacraments according to their own rites, and even have their own code of Canon Law. They operate their own parochial schools, seminaries, religious orders, and so on, just like the Latin Rite. How about the same idea for those who prefer the Tridentine Rite? I am talking about the establishment of a Tridentine Rite within the Church" (the Masonic "church" of Montini), "similar to the Eastern Rites—separate administration, retention of the Tridentine Mass, etc. This would involve compromise on both sides."

In another part of said issue, Mr. Matt mulls this one over and states: "Why not?" Why not, indeed? They are all spiritually mad, that is why not!

We know that St. Pius V allowed the Eastern Rites to continue as is because their rites had not been touched by the Protestant Reformation. Now let us look at the above suggestion with logical minds.

I have often said that the word "Tridentine" mass is a misnomer and a very dangerous term to use while we are coping with the Modernists who, I am sure were ecstatic when Marcel Lefebvre used the term "the 400-year old Tridentine Mass" while on his merry caper in Texas. The truth of the matter is that this Mass which I (and a few others) are trying to keep alive is the ancient Mass. Another term which can be safely used is the "True" Mass. The Roman Missal, which was in use prior to Vatican Council II, contained the restored ancient Mass, and all the major parts (and many minor parts) date from the second and third century. (*The Mass*, by Abbot Cabrol, 1931). Abbot Cabrol writes of the PREFACE and the variations which are used to celebrate certain feast days:

"It may be remarked that all these prayers begin with the same formula (initial protocol); and conclude in the same way (final protocol) to lead up to the Sanctus. This, the Angelic Hymn, goes back to the third and even to the second century; and is one of those chants to Christ, or doxology, which are mentioned by the writers of that epoch."

So we are not defending a service that has been in practice for a mere 400 years; we are defending the same Mass (with some variations) as did the great St. Athanasius. The ancient Mass was restored to its former beauty and truth 400 years ago after the Protestant Reformation had all but destroyed it. Just getting the facts straight here so that we can get the right perspective as to just what we are defending here.

THE ANCIENT MASS IS THE MASS OF THE LATIN RITE CHURCH—not the Novus Ordo. It is the Mass that once was loved and revered by all Catholics within the massive Latin Rite Church. For a Catholic (especially one who pretends to be a leader) to even suggest that this ancient Mass could become a small, preferred rite, on (a) par with Montini's Novus Ordo is to utter blasphemy!

While on his visit to Texas, Marcel Lefebvre made this statement:

"In the near future we hope we can have good relations with the Vatican" (the Masonic Vatican.) "We have nothing in our hearts against the Vatican but we cannot accept the loss of the true Catholic faith."

As we analyze the above statement, we must conclude that Lefebvre (like his "Holy Father") is a master when it comes to double-talk!

In another part of the same article, (*El Paso Times*), Lefebvre tells his followers that this Chapel, which he had just 'consecrated' "may become the center for the true Catholic faith all over America." And these followers truly believe this, and hope swells in their hearts. They continue to contribute to this "cause" even though the Swiss banks are bulging with money which the Lefebvre bunch has

accumulated. These people should be hanging onto their money since the way things are going under Jimmy Carter and his gang in the White House, these people are likely to awaken some morning and find themselves under martial law. They will be lucky to have a roof over the heads and food in their stomachs let alone a "center for the true Catholic faith all over the world." The trouble with the moderates is that they are politically naive, even though a great majority of them belong to the John Birch Society, or, at least, read the material put out by that outfit. Let me make a prediction here, please, not because I am setting myself up as some sort of 'prophet,' but because I am a realist and am very good at putting jib-saw puzzles together-especially the political and spiritual kind. We are now a nation divided into ten zones. Those at the head of these zones are unelected "officials" who have been given unbelievable power over the citizenry of these United States. Now anyone who has been watching Jimmy Carter, and his cohorts in action these days, should be aware of the fact that this evil man is quickly closing the gap between freedom and slavery in this country. I ask my readers-what is one of the things that tyrants deem necessary when the citizens of a country must be controlled completely? Well, the citizens must be where the government can keep an eye on themwhich means that travelling must be curtailed. The price of gasoline all over the country is going up and up and up and even though our country is bulging with oil, notwithstanding all the talk about the so-called "energy crisis." The price will soon go "out of sight." Coupled with the "out-of-sight" gasoline prices, the citizens will be issued "interstate passports" similar to those required in the Iron Curtain countries. The people will be told that this is being done in order to control the aliens" and, no doubt, the dumb Americans will believe that pap. Travelling to the various "chapels" will be necessarily discontinued. The few "itinerant" priests on my mailing list are fully aware of this scheme by the government, and this is why they are so anxious to reach as many souls as possible before they are stopped by the government. This "center for the true Catholic faith all over the world" will be wiped out with all the other "chapels" around the country by the One World Government which is becoming more of a reality as days go by. Very soon, the only "religion" that will be allowed to operate will be a government-approved "religion."

Pray tell me, how can any true Catholic hope to have "good relations" with the Masonic Vatican "in the near future?" Only their buddies can have a good relationship with these evil men who occupy positions of such power. True Catholics have nothing in common with them. Lefebvre recognizes this bunch as people with whom he can bargain, and he recognizes Montini as being a true Pope (even though he comes very close, at times, to calling him an "heretic.") to whom he must go in order to have the "official" stamp of approval applied to his "Tridentine Rite" Church. He may say that the Novus Order is a Protestant service, but when he engages in a sort of "plea-bargaining" with Montini, he is accepting the Novus

Ordo as being quite all right for millions of baptized Catholics to attend—it is a matter of preference with Lefebvre and his followers.

When Lefebvre accepts Montini as being the "Holy Father," then he must accept the latter's false idea of "ecumenism" -the idea "that all may be one" as long as they are not true Catholics. We know the effects of this false teaching. Not only have the Catholic teachings and the Holy Mass and the True Sacraments been suppressed, (but) this false "ecumenism" has now reached down into the major Protestant sects-both the Episcopalian and the Lutheran churches, in these parts, have replaced their former forms of worship with the Novus Ordo. My Episcopalian and Lutheran friends are leaving their churches in droves. The trouble is that they cannot believe that their own bishops are behind it all-in conjunction with Montini. The Episcopalians are doing as many of us are doing-they are reading their Book of Common Prayer at home, as a family, on Sunday mornings while we listen to our taped Masses. This is exactly as Montini planned. I wrote about it in my Jan-June 1973 issue, "Who is Paul VI?", and I think this one quote bears repeating for those who have not read that issue. Montini, here, speaks of this wonderful "unity" idea-that could only have been conceived in Hell:

"It takes hold of us, dominates us. "Unity. Immediately it imposes itself on account of its logical and metaphysical (there he goes with his liberal lingo) force. It refers to the Church, that is to mankind" (Montini believes that mankind is the Church); "it holds us spellbound because of its theological depth. Then it torments us because of its historic aspect" (it should) "yesterday and still today, bleeding and suffering like that of Christ crucified" (a little traditional innuendo always helps). "It reproves us and awakens us, like the sound of a trumpet, calling us with the urgency of a vocation" (his messianic thrust is showing), "which becomes relevant and characteristic in our times. The thought of unity irradiates over the world scene, scattered with the magnificent rent limbs and the ruins of so many churches."

Then he continues:

"All of them" (those rent and ruined churches) "are now invaded by two conflicting forces, in a moving tension; one centrifugal (from the center), "fleeing in its pursuit of autonomy, toward schismatic and heretical goals (those are the traditionalists about whom he is speaking); the other centripetal," (towards the center), "demanding with reborn nostalgia the recomposition of unity. Motherly and fearless, Rome" (Masonic Rome) "certainly not faultless" (not to Paul who is still searching for a perfect church), "and burdened on her own account with immense responsibility, stubbornly affirms and promotes this unity as her duty, smacking of witness and martyrdom. It is the authentically ecumenical and

<u>unitarian</u> force which is seeking its principal and its center." (General Audience, January 24, 1973)

Now, here we are in 1977 and, to be sure, all the major denominations are coming toward the "center." That "all may be one", not as true Catholics which Christ meant, but as "one" with the Masonic Vatican in Rome.

We all know that Montini has quite a few friends in high places-all just as evil as is he, himself. Vice-President Mondale, (who, by the way is the real President even though he does not possess the title) visited Montini not too long ago. All the newspapers around the country reported that this visit was "most satisfactory." Now anyone who knows the background of Mondale (and his intentions) should know that a true Pope would have nothing in common with this man who is a rabid One Worlder. But then he and the false "Pope, Montini, have a great deal in common since the very seat of the One World Church will be in Rome (or in Jerusalem when the location is changed). Among Montini's special favorites is the former Archbishop of Canterbury, Michael Ramsey. Now, in order to become the Archbishop of Canterbury, one must be a High Mason, and Ramsey is right up at the top. From various sources, we learn that when Ramsey was in Melbourne, Australia recently, he spoke of the "union with the Roman Catholic Church. He uses that term even though he is really referring to the Montinian "church." Here are his words, and you can be sure that they were "cleared" with Montini before he uttered them:

"My idea of the goal is the Anglican Church not absorbed into the Roman Catholic Church but in communion with it (everybody using the Novus Ordo). In this union, the Pope would be accepted, not as infallible, but as President Bishop.

There we have it-Montini's real goal-the doing away with the Papacy in exchange for a "presidency!"

Ramsey sent up the "trial balloon" and it will become a reality, if not through Montini, then, most certainly, through his hand-picked successor.

I feel sadness in my heart as I see the moderates attempting to keep one foot in Montini's "church," and the other in the True Church. How many times do I have to tell them that it cannot be done? Christ, Himself, has said: "Render to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's." We cannot serve two masters. No matter how well-intentioned we may be (as far as the restoration of the True Mass is concern), we are not allowed to make deals with Montini and his Masonic Vatican in order to gain this objective. When everything starts falling apart (and it will happen very quickly), the moderates will end up with a lot of broken hearts and broken dreams. They will, eventually, have to join the "remnant" in the catacombs—where they should have gone years ago instead of bargaining back and forth with Montini.

The wealthy (and "pushy") leaders who surround Lefebvre (and, I am sure, control him) would do well in our Congress—where the moderates make compromises with the so-called "liberals" and vote for issues against our national security in exchange for liberal votes for something the folks back home need—dams, subsidies, or what have you! After all, the "folks back home" must be satisfied since they are the ones who vote these men into office. This is what is known as "political blackmail," and it has been going on for years. Making deals with the enemy is a sign of weakness. The liberals in Congress know this, and so does Montini.

There are scores of people – true Catholics – who have become involved with the Lefebvre movement because they truly love the Mass and have been misled into believing that they can use any means to have it available to them. It is sad that the moderates are so emotional that they do not accept the real facts even when someone else spends hours digging up those facts for their benefit. To them, "seeing is believing," (and) the pomp and ceremony impress them, but they find the whole truth beyond their capacity to accept.

When did we ever hear these self-professed leaders of the Lefebvre movement speaking out against Montini by telling the whole world the whole truth about this man who is a "pretender" to the Chair of Peter? They see the Ephod hanging around his neck and, if they knew anything about Freemasonry, they would know that this "neck-piece" which he so proudly displays, is the highest symbol of the masonic "Brotherhood." His Jewish (marrano) background is wellknown, but the Lefebvre people remain silent about it. Certainly, they read my newsletter, Sword of Truth, and *Veritas*, and the writings of others who are telling their readers the truth-otherwise they wouldn't be telling their followers how evil WE are, and to stop reading our material. The true background of Montini and his renegades in the Vatican is kept secret from the general public. Unfortunately, WE do not have coverage in all the prestigious newspapers and magazines around the country as does Lefebvre and his leaders. One might be tempted to say that the Novus Ordo "new People of God" (a Masonic term - see Trail of the Serpent, Christina Stoddard "Inquire Within" 1930-35) wouldn't be interested in learning the truth. I wouldn't bet on it! I am getting scads of "refugees" from the Novus Ordo "church" on my list these days – thanks to my faithful readers who keep distributing this newsletter of mine where they think it will do the most good.

Many "conservative" Catholics have turned to the likes of Billy Graham and Carl McIntyre because they pose as "anti-communist" leaders. I see where good, old Billy is now in Hungary preaching "the word of God." He, no doubt, has very good friends in Hungary. If one of our "itinerant" priests were to go to Hungary and start preaching true Catholicism to the people, he would vanish-never to be heard of again. These "conservative"

Catholics are always looking for some human leader, a "savior in the flesh," someone who will keep up their hopes. Well, there seems to be good money in "preaching the word of God" these days. Billy Graham has a slush fund of \$23,000,000. As for Carl McIntyre, he is funded by Zionist money and doesn't hesitate to admit it. By the same token, the Lefebvre movement is doing quite well financially-it does pay to have wealthy people around when one decides to start (and maintain) a "movement" these days.

When Stalin took over as the dictator in Russia, there was a real bloodbath, as we all know. Those who had helped him to attain power were shot down along with his known enemies. When they questioned him on these death sentences, he replied, "You were nothing more than useful idiots." (*Pawns in the Game*, Commander Guy Carr, 1958).

As the situation within our country (and our Church) grows ever darker, it would be well for all of us to ponder the words in this verse, as follows:

"No man escapes when freedom fails, The best men rot in filthy jails And those who cried, "Appease! Appease" Are hanged by those they tried to please."

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 Sept.-Oct., 1977

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth November-December, 1977

The Church in the Catacombs

Whenever I write that the True Catholic Church is "invisible" today, I invariably receive letters (from the moderates and a few other sources) accusing me of "advocating Protestantism." This is a ridiculous accusation, of course, but that seems to be the way some people insist upon interpreting my writings in order to serve their own purposes. I received a letter from a priest awhile back (I had never heard of him prior to his correspondence with me), and because it is so typical of the ones I receive from my critics, I shall quote from it with the hope that I can put to rest this "advocating Protestantism" idea once and for all. Father is, evidently, a Lefebvre "follower" and is quite upset because I refuse to follow HIS leader. Father writes:

"You do not tell us what will happen to the Apostolic Succession to the priesthood without Lefebvre's ordinations and, possibly, consecrations. 'God will provide' is not a satisfactory answer because God, while providing, evidently wants to use the human element" (Lefebvre, no doubt) "to ordain and consecrate and distribute the graces through the seven Sacraments and the Mass."

Father is right. I have never given an alternative to Lefebvre's ordinations and (possibly) consecrations for the simple reason that I am not so bold as to think that I, a mere human, can second guess God's plans for His Church. Father, like the typical moderate that he is, doesn't seem to care by whom (and in what manner) priests are ordained and bishops are consecrated just as long as someone does this "ordaining" and "consecrating." I cannot agree with his way of thinking. I have just about had my fill of strange priests, wishy-washy priests, bad priests, and phony priests. It will be up to God to provide because the so-called 'human element' around today seems to be only adding to the confusion which resulted from Vatican Council II. I am tired of having my readers ripped off by the phony "militants" who keep promising them the Mass and True Sacraments. It becomes necessary to make every effort to control this Irish temper of mine when I read letters from my readers who tell me how they gave their life savings and put second mortgages on their homes in order to help finance the establishment of "chapels" and so-called "traditionalist" schools and then, after a short period of use of these facilities, they find themselves shunted aside by the JBS "biggies" and the Lefebvre "powers-that-be."

Father continues:

"Faith alone' is a Protestant and condemned idea. To reject the ordinary means of salvation and still expect salvation, is not the virtue of hope, but the sin of presumption."

As my readers well know, I have never stated that by "faith alone" we can be saved. I have stated, however, that in days to come both the spiritual and political situation will become so bad that "only our Faith will suffice." I refuse to retract that statement because I know that is the way it is going to be despite all the plans being made by the moderates to "save the

Mass" and "restore the Church." I have never rejected "the ordinary means of salvation" (the Mass and the True Sacraments). I object strenuously to the way these means of salvation are offered to unwary Catholics by the kinds of priests which I have already mentioned above.

In the gospel, according to St. Mark 13:24, Christ foretells the destruction of the temple, and the signs that shall forerun the day of judgment. We read, as follows:

"...the sun" (the Papacy) "will be darkened, and the moon" (the Church) "will not give her light, and the stars" (the Hierarchy) "will fall from heaven and the powers of heaven will be shaken. (Mark 13:24)

The above words tell us all that we want to know about the frightening situation in the Church today. If Christ, Himself, has said that the 'moon' will not give her light, (during the latter times,) then why am I accused of "advocating Protestantism" when I write the same thing? By the way, in case anyone accuses me of giving my own interpretation of the Bible (as Protestants do), let me say that this is the interpretation given in the footnotes of two very excellent copies of the Douay Version that I have in my possession.

There are many sincere moderates who just simply cannot believe that we are in the latter times. They are hoping for some miracle to occur that will bring the Masses back into the parish churches. They have been promised that if they join the St. Pius X Movement (and bear considerable financial costs) there will be plenty of priests (and a few bishops thrown in) to minister to their spiritual needs for many years to come. They do not want to even think about the coming Chastisement which will, no doubt, destroy most of this tired, old earth and most of the people in it. Hope burns eternally in their hearts and they cannot understand why some of us are not willing to go along with their particular brand of "militancy." The majority of these people attend the Latin Novus Ordo several Sundays out of the month, and then jump over to the True Mass on the other Sunday. Since the Latin Novus Ordo is heretical, it seems to have never occurred to these people that one must confess to having attended an heretical service before receiving the Holy Eucharist at the True Mass. One lady was absolutely shocked when I even suggested this to her. The point here is that the moderates do not believe that the Latin Novus Ordo is heretical and yet these are the people who claim that they are the ones who are doing the most "fighting" for the "saving of the Mass" and the "restoration of the Church." Christ has said: "I have no use for divided hearts" and, therefore, He will not depend upon wishy-washy Catholics to save His Church. He will save it in His Own way - the right way!

As far as my writing that "God will provide," I will not retract that statement either. God will provide. For reasons known only to Himself, God allowed the Masons to infiltrate the Church for decades, and to convene Vatican Council II. He allowed John XXIII and Montini to take over the Papacy, and He has allowed the Hierarchy to scatter the sheep through the teaching of the heretical doctrines of that evil Council. On the other hand, He has kept a small "remnant" intact (while the majority turn their backs on Him) and it is this small "remnant" that the devil wants to control. He can only do it by holding out to them what they desire most of all – the True Mass and the True Sacraments. Everyone knows that when Satan gives something he always has strings attached to his "offering." He gives the unwary the Mass said by all those strange priests, Wishy-washy priests, bad priests and phoney priests. Today there is more confusion within these so-called "traditionalist" movements than within the Novus Ordo Montinian "church," - after all, the majority within the latter "church" has become adjusted to the way things are. The "moderates" are satisfied with the way things are within their "movements" but the members of the "remnant" who take a chance and become a part of them soon become disenchanted with all the bickering and squabbling, the rumors going around about certain priests, the various opinions (pro and con) which the moderates put forth to them and they eventually give up. Some of my readers know the tactics that have been used against them by some of the leaders of these "movements" in order to get them back to these Masses. My readers have been hounded by telephone and through correspondence. There have been occasions where people have been sent to my readers' homes in an effort to "persuade" them to return. My readers will have none of it, and so rumors are spread about them. Satan just refuses to let them go away peacefully, it seems.

Now, when I write about the "movements," I am not including those which a few priests have set up in order to minister to small groups of the "remnant." These groups seems to be doing quite well up to this point. However, one of these priests has noticed that a few strangers have come into his group lately, and are causing minor disturbances. It always seems to happen sooner or later no matter how vigilant both the priests and people try to be. Now, we have these priests (very, very few) and we have some "itinerant" priests who practically live out of their cars like gypsies since they are always on the road going somewhere in search of souls. Then we have a small number of "underground" priests who are in the catacombs (much to the chagrin of the moderates) spending their time in prayer and quiet, and offering their Holy Masses for souls and the mitigation (they know that it can't be stopped now) of the coming Chastisement. These are the priests who are truly keeping the Mass alive! Moderates write, asking me, "Yes, but what happens when these priests die off and there will be none to take their places?" I have had this question put to me many times, and it causes me great concern because it shows that these people have not learned the secret of sanctity – which is complete confidence in the providence of God. The saints had this complete confidence which is why they became saints. We must follow their example if we are to

retain both our faith and our sanity in the terrible days to come.

St. Alphonsus Liguouri states:

"It is certain that whatever happens takes place by the Divine Will."

And so, it is much better to take one day at a time and trust completely in God than to spend our time making plans which might, no matter how good our intentions might be, take us on a "collision-course" with His plans.

As I have stated before, I am a very independent writer, and the writings of others do not have any effect upon my own. I do not believe, as my readers well know, that Montini is the Antichrist. I still say that he is the "false prophet" who was to precede Antichrist and to prepare the people for his coming. There is no doubt that that Montini has prepared the people very well!

We are going through some very rough times these days, dear readers, but these are nothing compared to what we will go through when the Antichrist eventually comes to power. I want those of you who live long (and you are the ones I worry about the most) to keep believing (and to take comfort in the fact) that you are not alone – even though it seems that all have deserted you. I am praying for you, and so are my other readers. You are very special people in the eyes of God because you have been the most completely abandoned. Do not fear.

I seem to be constantly the bearer of news about "woes" and "tribulations" but it is not by choice. I wish, with all my heart, that I could write an issue filled with hope for the future, but I cannot write what I, myself, do not believe. It is true that the moderates find my writings "depressing," but I do not write for them, I write for the "remnant." My hope for the moderates is that, through the grace of God, they will someday come out of that "foggy" thinking in which their minds have become enveloped, and join us in the catacombs – very soon.

A "moderate" lady wrote: "How can you possibly live a normal life when you are so depressed?" This brings me to another misinterpretation of my writings.

In the first place, the whole truth is depressing but I, myself, am not depressed—as those who are acquainted with me, personally, well know. I am a very happy person because I have learned the secret of happiness—complete abandonment to God's will. I am happy knowing that I have done my very best in my attempts to stop the revolutionary forces throughout my years or writing in the defense of the Church. The fact that I was not successful does not depress me. I, and a few other "stalwarts" were, actually, like small "voices crying out in the wilderness." There were not enough of us, but, at least, we tried. I am happy because I now know why I was given the

opportunity (and the grace) to write – it was to find the little "remnant" forces, to give them comfort, and to do my best to keep them together. We must differentiate between hope in man and hope in God. Happiness comes from within through peace of soul, and I am at peace. I do not have any hope in any spiritual leader today as far as the continuation of the Apostolic Succession is concerned. I find comfort in the belief that God has promised that He will never abandon His Church and that He will always protect her against "the gates of Hell."

The holy Father Nectou, S.J., (1771) writes about the latter times, as follows:

"When those things come to pass which will bring on the triumph of the Church, then will such confusion reign on earth that people will think God has permitted them to have their own contrary will and that the providence of God is not concerned about the world. The confusion will be so general that mankind will not be able to think aright, as if God has entirely withheld His providence from mankind, and during the worst crisis the best that can be done will be to remain where God has placed us, and persevere in fervent prayer (Yves Dupont, *Catholic Prophecy*, Tan Books and Publishers, 1973).

Father writes of dire things to come, but he also mentions the "triumph of the Church," which, of course, will come to pass though the world will have to suffer a terrible purification before this takes place.

In closing, let us remember the words of St. Paul, 1Thess. 5:16-17, who was not above saying a lot of depressing things to his followers:

"Always be joyful. Never cease praying."

So be it.

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 Nov.-Dec., 1977

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

More and more of my "remnant" readers are writing in asking me what can be done to bring their loved ones and friends back to the True Church, and into the "catacombs" with them. I wish that I had the answer to that question since I, like my readers, am very concerned about the souls of some of my own loved ones and friends. I firmly believe that it has always been much harder to bring back "fallen-away" Catholics than it has been to convert "individual" non-Catholic souls. Since the Church teaches that God takes away His grace in the same measure as he has given it (when souls turn against Him), it is easy to understand why many formerly devout Catholics, who had been given large amounts of grace, and had accepted them, suddenly went off the deep end and eventually fell into apostasy. Not all of those who stayed with the Novus Ordo "church" for a time, fell into apostasy but these were those of "simple faith" who never really accepted the new ways. Somehow, God protected these people and they, eventually, came away and are now in the "catacombs." But, I am sure, God gave the others (the majority) many chances to stay with the truth. With each little compromise, a little bit of grace was lost and the souls of the majority were so weakened by the time the Novus Ordo came along, that they accepted it. We know that the so-called "renewal" (revolution) within the Church was accomplished gradually, and that the confusion was so rampant that the majority of Catholics found it hard to cope with the heavy propaganda (filled with half-truths) coming down from Rome, and out of their Chancery offices. BUT CATHOLICS ARE NOT ALLOWED TO EXCUSE THEMSELVES for such reasons. Catholics are supposed to be informed on the truths of their religion (and the majority were informed), and they are supposed to pray for guidance (most Catholics did not feel that this was necessary). Catholics are supposed to be "Soldiers for Christ." and defend the Church to the point of becoming martyrs, if necessary. Catholics are supposed to be 100% Catholics. One might say that everybody doesn't have the strength nor the courage to become a martyr. And yet, our well-known martyrs did not become such because they were brave and strong of themselves. They became martyrs because they prayed to God for help. They were just as human as the rest of us. They became martyrs through God's strength, not their own. What mere human being could face a horrible death at the hands of the enemy and then offer their last prayers for the souls of those enemies (true spiritual charity) that God might have mercy upon them? It takes torrents of God's grace within one's soul to act in such a manner.

God, of course, does not choose everyone to be martyrs for the Church. If you will remember, dear readers, St. Catherine of Sienna had a great desire for martyrdom, and tried very hard to become a martyr on several occasions, but God kept stepping in and saving her from her enemies, and she never attained that goal. God, on the other hand, does expect all Catholics to come to the aid of the Church (even in small ways) when she is in mortal danger at the hands of her enemies. In the

beginning state of the "renewal," the majority of Catholics "in the pews) had no idea that the Church was in mortal danger. After the "renewal" took hold, and started to gather steam, most Catholics had lost so much grace that they really didn't care what was happening to the Church even though the signs of danger were much clearer.

The exterior devastation of Catholicism began in 1962 at the time that the evil Vatican Council II convened. The interior devastation had already happened many decades (even centuries) ago as the Hierarchy of the Church became infiltrated with Masons and "marrano" Jews. We can see very clearly now the "bad fruits" of said Council as millions of formerly united Catholic families are no longer united, and a mighty lot of bitterness now prevails within these families. The True Mass and the True Sacraments have now been "officially" suppressed and cannot be found in any parish church in any of the diocese in this country, and so these "dead" Catholic souls do not have these great means of grace available to them anymore. There aren't any true Catholic seminaries, novitiates, hospitals, orphanages, or schools anywhere in this country that I would accept as being truly Catholic – the moderates' versions notwithstanding. Catholicism has come to this devastating point within the space of a mere 15 years, and the evil results have been absolutely appalling.

I have written many times regarding the "secularization" of Catholics after World War II and, most especially, during the 1950's. Patriotism was waning in this country, as the average American began to believe the communist line regarding "McCarthyism." They were given to understand, by the controlled news media, that the "right-wingers" (the patriots) were people to be feared since these people (supposedly) were "obsessed" with the idea of a "a red under every bed." We knew that the "reds" weren't under out beds, but we were darned sure that our government was teeming with them, and that the news media was being controlled by them. Since the Catholics in the United States were becoming more and more "permissive" in their ways of thinking, they began to think more in terms of the world. These Catholics would have been horrified if anyone accused them of aiding the cause of communism (which has always been a front for Masonry and Zionism). They knew what communism stood for, but they didn't recognize it in the various guises under which it presented itself. As their minds became weakened and worldly, they turned more and more to the "thinkers" of the world for guidance. Catholics, having become more affluent after the War, were busy shoving their children into the secular colleges, and the "liberalism" being taught in those colleges rubbed off on them. We had the Newman Clubs on the campuses of the secular colleges and as long as they were under the control of true priests, they served Catholic students very well. But during the 1950's even the Newman Clubs became more and more permissive, especially here at the University of Delaware.

The "renewal" came early to the Delaware diocese, and it proceeded at a rapid speed. The University "revolutionaries" joined hands with the "revolutionaries" in the Chancery and nothing sacred was spared. In 1965, a real "revolutionary" priest took over the University of Delaware (he is still there) and he immediately became one of my worst foes. He would write long articles in the diocesan paper on the glories of Teilhard de Chardin, and I would write long articles in rebuttal confounding him by telling the truth about Teilhard, and by quoting from the dogmas of the Church. The "Mass in Jazz" – a real extravaganza – was presented by the University's Music Department, at the request of this "revolutionary," and I am sure that it was concocted by Satan himself. I attended, and for a long time, what I witnessed gave me an occasional nightmare.

But, dear readers, we cannot blame everything on the "revolutionaries." They had plenty of help from the "people-in-the-pews" who were by now becoming more and more 'enlightened' and 'liberated.' These people were listening to (and believing) the "silver-tongued" orators who "sounded good" to them even though these "orators" had sawdust for brains, and only knew enough of the teachings of the Church to sound convincing.

I am sure that most of my readers are familiar with my former parish here in Delaware since most have read about the socalled "Jew-Priest" Goldstein who still gives lectures on "Christianity" (the Talmud version.) In the beginning, our parish, which was a double parish containing 3,500 families, was the most "conservative" and our Pastor tried to protect us from the ravages of the "renewal" while a great many parishes in Wilmington, Delaware were already falling apart. Our parochial school was staffed by Sisters from the Archdiocese of Philadelphia and they knew their business-both academically and spiritually. Then the Chancery sent in one of its favorite boys – a "Father" Ardozonni. The people loved him (especially the women). He was the epitome of friendliness and kindness, but I knew that he had great plans for us since he had already torn apart the parish from which he had been transferred. It wasn't long before all hell broke loose - he took over everything! Our once fine CCD became heretical almost immediately. The affairs at the CYO became worse than the Saturday Night Dances at our local secular high school, one thing after another toppled to the ground, and I felt utter contempt for the apathetic minds of the people, especially the parents.

We have moved here from Springfield, Pennsylvania in 1955, and I noticed at the very beginning that most of the priests in this location were not as strict (and holy) as were those to whom I had become accustomed in the Archdiocese of Philadelphia at that time. Our own pastor ran a "tight" ship, and I was content in our own parish. The Chancery in Wilmington seemed far away.

Now we know that all parishes in every diocese have always had certain people who were referred to as being the "pillars of the Church." These people acquired this reputation because of their 'goodness' and their 'dedication.' They were constantly seen in church – even at the extra devotions – and worked hard on the "fund drives," and did "kitchen-work" at the various parish affairs. They were looked upon as "leaders," and were held in respect by the ordinary parishioners. In most parishes, there were "pushy" people among them but the majority were really dedicated people. I soon found out that there was a very strange breed of "pillars" in our own parish. everything (there were only a few of them), and they were all "pushy." When we came here, I offere3d my help on several occasions but it was flatly refused because they considered me to be an 'outsider,' and so I didn't bother anymore. We became casual friends and little did I know then that in the not too distant future that they would be the ones to scuttle my efforts to defend the faith. These PILLARS OF THE CHURCH were the ones who later knocked the "pillars" from under the Church as surely as if they had used a sledgehammer.

The "pillars of Newark" were mostly Chrysler people, and most of their husbands worked "on the line." They were diehard Democrats and always voted the straight party. They were union-oriented who considered Walter Reuther (then President of the UAW) to be some sort of a "saviour" even though the man was an out-an-out communist. They were the "good" Catholics who were as liberal as all-get-out as far as politics was concerned. They were all from the "old" families of Newark and when our parish expanded into a double parish they were determined to keep their status as "pillars." They were so "parish-oriented" that they considered their parish work as some sort of career, and God help anyone who ventured into their little "domain" that they had set up for themselves. These "pillars" joined in the "renewal" almost from the very beginning. I would attend all the "reorientation" meetings, and was writing very prolifically on the sins of the "renewal." I didn't hesitate to speak my piece at each of these meetings, and I became a threat to them with their simple minds and misguided sense of "duty." The blow-out had to come sooner or later, and come it did. Previously, these "pillars" had thwarted all my efforts to defend the faith. I had no intention of becoming a leader in my own parish-I knew that I would be leaving it soon anyway. But I was still trying to get the truth to the people so that, at least some of them would leave with me. One final meetings, and that was it,when these "pillars" tore into our pastor, calling him a "footdragger" (a phrase with which they had suddenly become familiar), I stood up and defended our Pastor and then I walked out. The "pillars" joined up with the revolutionary Father A. and their "careers" are secure. They are still working just as hard on their "fund-raising" and they are still doing their "kitchen-work" - the difference being that they are now working for the heretics, ringing doorbells asking everyone to give generously – to the Masonic coffers! So much for my parish.

The "renewalists" were smart both in the planning and in the initiation of their new "meaningful" and "relevant" ideas. The MODERATE-LIBERALS (the worst kind), most of whom had the word "Father" in front of their names, were maneuvered front and center. They mouthed off constantly, and wrote volumes containing either half-truths or downright heresy. One of the more notorious among these was Andrew Greeley, who became absolutely ecstatic of the wonders of "renewal." Listening to him (and others like him) and reading his articles, became "musts" in the lives of the liberal-minded Catholics who didn't know (and didn't care) what his background was. In one of my earlier issues, I told how he was shoved to the forefront even though those who were doing the shoving knew that he was a "mini-minded" psychologist of sorts. Actually, he was more of a psychologist than a priest, and he saw the Church (which he ripped apart constantly) through the eyes of a psychologist.

Greeley is still a prolific writer. He is even more arrogant today than he used to be – if that is possible! At the moment, his writings reflect the fact that he is extremely concerned about the "grave crisis of continued religious decline." And so, he is urging the National Conference of Catholic Bishops to call "a special meeting of the administrative board (we all know who they are) to consider a plan of research and action to deal with the grave crisis which has been found to exist." This particular article has been in all the newspapers (he gets wide coverage), and I am sure that most of my readers have seen it. No doubt, these wily "bishops" are laughing at him – after all, everything is going as planned for them. The only thing that worries these traitorous "wolves" is that if too many people leave the "official" Novus Ordo church, there will be nobody around to keep them in the life-style to which they have become accustomed while they were robbing millions of Catholics of their faith.

All the facts that Greeley presents in the article show that the majority of Catholics have already left the "official" heretical church of Montini. We would have much cause for rejoicing if they had left to join us in the "catacombs." But, sad to say, this is not the case. Most have gone on to bigger and better things such as the "charismatic" bit. Many have become so-called "born again," "bible-believing" Baptists – an outfit that is controlled and funded by the Zionists. These Catholics are wandering around looking for the truth, but they won't get it from Greeley nor from the Father X's and the "bishops;" the whole bunch of them have become apostates.

Greeley is worried about these erstwhile Catholics, and he refers to them as being "the future of the Church." Of course, he is not talking about the True Church, he is referring to Montini's "church." If the "renewed" church goes out of

business, he will be out of a job since he won't have anything to write about anymore, and he is feeling insecure.

The future of the True Church, small though that Church may be these days, is safely in the hands of the "remnant," both priests and people. The Novus Ordo church is in the hands of Montini and his Masonic Hierarchy. So-called 'covenants' are being signed (locally and elsewhere) between the Novus Ordo 'priests" and the various Protestant ministers – they deserve each other.

The revolution is over now, and it is too bad that some of our loved ones and dear friends have been caught up in it. I knew the feeling of helplessness that my readers are experiencing as far as these dear ones are concerned. Our words of warning fall on deaf ears because these souls have lost the faith, and are now blind to the truth. As for my own loved ones and friends, they have ABSOLUTELY NO EXCUSE AT ALL since they had me from the beginning. If any one of them (God forbid!) Falls into Hell, Satan and his demons would have much cause for rejoicing – it would be one way for them to avenge all the trouble I have caused them as I have tried to defend the faith and snatch souls from their clutches.

But I have faith in my prayers, and in God's great mercy. We must all pray fervently that God will remove the terrible blindness through His grace. As St. Teresa of Avila has said:

"There is but one road which reaches God, and that is prayer. If anyone shows you another, you are being deceived."

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 January-February, 1978

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth no date yet possibly March-April, 1978 *title*

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 March–April, 1978 The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth $\mathcal T$

The Sword of Truth no date yet (possibly May-June, 1978 *title*

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 May-June, 1978

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

The Sword of Truth no date yet possibly July-August, 1978

title

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 July-August, 1978

The Sword of Furth The Sword of Fruth The Sword of Fruth

The Sword of Truth September-October, 1978

Personal Sanctification More Important Than Knowledge

It is with mixed emotions that I write, apprising my readers of the fact that this will be the last issue of *The Sword of Truth*. Additional family responsibilities make it imperative that I put an end to my active apostolate (that of defending the faith) which has been in existence for 14 years.

The above statement will, no doubt, come as a shock to most of my readers who might feel that I am, in view of the recent "happenings" in Rome, deserting them when they need me most. I, of course, know differently. I refuse to underestimate the intelligence and the astuteness of my loyal readers who have been with me for many years now. Over the years, I have written on every phase of the so-called "revolution" within the Catholic Church as a result of the masonic

teachings of Vatican Council II. Anything further that I might write – as to what has happened, why it happened, and who was responsible for this terrible spiritual tragedy—would only be redundant. Whatever I would write, in anticipation of future events, would only be speculation on my part. We can follow the prophecies, concerning the latter times, up to a certain point – attempting to interpret them as best we can. But, as the Great Chastisement bears down upon us, we need to prepare ourselves – not by spending time trying to figure out what this or that prophecy tells us (knowledge), but by watching (staying alert), and by engaging in much prayer – prayers of love and reparation, thereby, increasing our own personal sanctificiation.

Many readers have written me asking if things will be "better" under Albino Luciano (sic) (alias John Paul I). For some time now, I have been telling my readers to go into the "catacombs" and "watch and pray." Most of them have followed my advice, and are at peace, away from all the bickering and the squabbling which seems to be so prevalent within the so-called "remnant" groups these days. We, of the Remnant, should be absolutely detached from anything going on in Rome today since nothing that these Masonic leaders say or do should have any bearing upon our spiritual lives. We must treat these renegades as being the vilest enemies of the Church, and we must ignore whatever they say or do.

Sad to say, the Catholic Church has not had a legitimate Pope since the death of Pope Pius XII in 1958. Roncalli (alias John XXIII), and Montini (alias Paul VI) were both imposters, as is Luciano (sic). We know that Roncalli and Montini were both Masons and we have enough information on hand to prove it. Luciano (sic) was made a "bishop" by Roncalli, and a "cardinal" by Montini – these Masons surely do take care of

Taking the prophecies in sequence (which is the only way that I know how to interpret them), the Great Apostasy comes first, and we have already experienced this. The next event will be the Great Chastisement, which has not come upon us yet, but is certainly due momentarily. We have only to look about us and see the evil (demonic in nature) prevalent in the world today to know that God will not be mocked much longer. And so, we must prepare ourselves for the next step by divorcing ourselves completely from the evil things of the world and concentrating on things of a spiritual nature. This does not mean that we must walk around with hairshirts or adopt other extreme forms of penance. Nor does it mean that we must spend many hours on prayer and start assuming that we are "victim souls." Our Lady has told us what she meant when she begged us to do penance for the sins of the world and we must follow her directions. To be a member of the Remnant means that we must practice the virtues of faith, hope and charity, offering up our little annoyances and crosses that each day brings, and be kind and charitable (the Catholic way). We must offer prayers not only for our own souls, but, also for the souls of our loved

their own, and, therefore, he was never a true bishop nor a true cardinal of the Catholic Church; his "election" was invalid and he is not a true Pope. I do believe that Luciano's reign will be a short one since the remaining prophecies concerning the Latter times should start coming to pass now in relatively short order. Luciano was what is known as a "dark horse" in political circles. These are those who are groomed (very thoroughly, I might add) in the background, and who are suddenly brought out into the open as Leaders with the reputations of being "unknowns or "outsiders." These "unknowns," so-called, are the most dangerous of all, and we can expect only the worst from Luciano, who smiles a lot like his political counter-part, Jimmy Carter.

Most of my readers know, through private correspondence with me, that I am still of the opinion that Montini was not the Antichrist, but, rather, the False Prophet who would precede the Antichrist and prepare the world for his coming. Other writers (my very good friends, among them) do not agree with me, and this is their privilege. The prophecies tell us that the "continuous sacrifice" will be "taken away" and the "abomination of desolation" will be set up in the holy places before the coming of Antichrist. This sounds very logical to me since the Mass was a deterrent to the coming of the Antichrist. Montini was 'elected' while the Mass was still being celebrated throughout the whole world. Today, the Mass has been removed and the way is clear for the Antichrist to come into power. Millions of Catholics (the majority) have lost the faith and think and believe as though they had never heard one word of truth. If the Antichrist came upon the scene tomorrow, these Catholics would accept him (especially since, in the beginning, he will pose as a great benefactor) without question, as they have accepted the teachings of the Talmud being preached right in their own parish churches.

ones, friends, enemies and for those who have nobody to pray for them.

Very few people have been given the grace to pray for long hours at a time, and yet I have readers who feel that they must engage in these long hours of prayer, and then they tell me that they 'feel guilty' if they cannot finish them all each day. The "feeling guilty" business is the devil's ploy, and disturbs the peace of soul. We have always been taught that a few prayers said fervently, and offered with great love to God are more efficacious than hundreds of daily prayers said routinely (out of habit) which, eventually, become burdensome. ejaculation, "Mary, my Hope, my Confidence!" frequently (and with pure love) during the day as we go about our daily chores, will bring Our Lady to our side faster than the 15 decades of the Rosary said out of habit, and when our minds and bodies are too tired to concentrate on what we are saying. In case anyone feels that I am asking my readers to substitute ejaculations for the Rosary, let me say that I am only trying to point out that we must use common sense when we

engage in prayer, making each and every prayer we say the very best that we can offer. Satan is constantly lurking around when we, of the Remnant are attempting to pray. He would like nothing better than to see us engage in long hours of prayer when we are too tired to concentrate. It is then that he (or one of his demons) jumps in, filling our minds with all kinds of distractions. He knows the kind of prayer which is efficacious and which is not. So, I ask my readers to pray as you have never prayed before—not necessarily numerous prayers, but prayers filled with reverence and love for God and His Blessed Mother.

St. Louis de Montfort has said:

"It is not so much the length of a prayer, but the fervour with which it is said which pleases Almighty God and touches His Heart."

St. Benedict tells us:

"Prayer ought to be short and pure, unless it be prolonged by the inspiration of Divine Grace."

Very few people are so favored by God that they can endure long hours of prayer. If we grow tired or restless, then we should realize that God does not expect such endurance from everyone and, if we still feel the need to remain in contact with Him, then we must resort to other means – either short prayers of love and reparation, or the reading of spiritual material.

From St. Teresa, we hear:

"When we pray, we speak to God. When we read spiritual books, God speaks to us."

These will be the Masses which will sustain you, and give you comfort in the days ahead. With this, I will take my leave.

P.S. After the first of the year, I will endeavor to put all of my writings into some sort of book form. My readers will be the first to know when this painstaking job has been accomplished. I ask your prayers.

Pray much, and God bless all of you.

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711 Sept., Oct., 1978

(Last known regular issue until March/April 1985 below:) Mary R. Lejeune died in 1986 at the age of 70. May the souls of the Faithful Departed rest in peace. Amen. So be it!

As the Great Chastisement draws near (and I feel that it will come upon us in the form of a Middle East war which will spread all over the world), we have an even greater need to "speak to God" and have Him, in return, "speak to us." We are completely dependent upon him since only He knows absolutely what the future holds for those of us who are a part of the Remnant. We can be brilliant of mind and very knowledgeable, but if we have not attained (or at least tried to attain) PERSONAL SANCTIFICATION in preparation for those terrible days which are about to come upon us, then our knowledge will avail us nothing, and we will go down with the rest of mankind – those who have forgotten that there is still a God up in heaven Who made this world out of nothing, and could easily destroy it in a moment with a mere puff of His Divine Breath!

As I leave my readers, I must assure them that I am in very good health, physically, mentally, and (I hope) spiritually. I sincerely hope that my loyal readers will keep in touch with me. I will be available to them. Sometimes it is easier to inform and console people on a one-to-one basis since individual needs are discussed in confidence and problems can be solved more rapidly. I hold no bitterness towards anyone, and I hope others hold no bitterness towards me. I ask God's forgiveness if I have (inadvertently) led any soul astray through my writings. My readers will continue to be in my thoughts and humble prayers. Those of you who still have the Holy Mass and the Sacraments available to you must prepare yourselves for the day when you will no longer have this great privilege. Try to think of every Mass you attend as being the last one that will be available to you, and attend it with every bit of love and devotion that you can arouse within your soul.

The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth The Sword of Truth

Mary R. Lejeune Mary R. Lejeune Mary R. Lejeune

The Sword of Truth March/April, 1985

"Woe to Them Who Write Wicked Laws"

The above is taken from Isaias 10:1. It refers to those who take advantage of the poor and the helpless, but it can also be said of those who, through the infamous Vatican Council II, have written wicked laws which have silenced the Catholic Church, and have caused irreparable loss of faith among the members of that Church. These wicked laws, which replaced

the true laws of the Church, pleased the enemies of Catholicism because they knew that said laws would rob Catholics, who obeyed them, of their Catholic mentality, and cause them to adopt a humanistic view of life. These wicked laws are ambiguous and deadly to the salvation of souls – leading Catholics away from the spiritual ways of Catholicism towards the secularism of Freemasonry.

The Vatican Council II church (an arm of the One World Church) is one that is in constant motion, and it deals greatly in experimentation. The Catholic Church deals in the salvation of souls, and her laws are constant.

John Henry Cardinal Newman (a convert from Anglicanism to Catholicism), speaks of the Church's purpose in the world, as follows:

"The Church aims, not at making a show, but at doing a work. She regards this world, and all that is in it, as a mere shadow, as dust and ashes, compared with the value of one single soul. She holds that, unless she can, in her own way, do good to souls, it is no use her doing anything."

(A Newman Treasury)

A very dear reader asked me to send my material to her sister who is deeply entrenched in the ways of Vatican Council II. The sister was horrified at the way I wrote against "His Holiness, Pope John Paul II." She suggested that, even if I didn't agree with him, I should not spread unkind thoughts about him. That, of course, is the typical thinking of the new "People of God." One must not speak out against one's enemies because that is being "uncharitable." We must be tolerant towards everyone – even those who have caused much spiritual suffering in our lives.

This "charitable" bit is a false charity, and it was drummed into Catholic minds as a result of Vatican Council II. The leaders of said "council" wanted to go their merry way–spreading their heresies and condemning the true Catholic Church–with as little dissension from the "grass roots" as possible. Stress was laid upon only the Corporal Works of Mercy, and the Spiritual Works of Mercy were discarded as not being "relevant" any longer.

All true Catholics know, of course, that we cannot be docile where souls are concerned. We cannot approve of anything that we believe is wicked or false. We are obliged to be intolerant of any teaching that is against truth as revealed by Christ to the Catholic Church. We must follow the teachings of the Apostles who were very outspoken against "false teachers."

If God has given us the talent to write, then we must use that talent for His honor and glory by warning people against self-

appointed leaders who make wicked laws that harm souls destined for eternal happiness.

St. Thomas Aquinas speaks of the written word as follows:

"Though all may have the capacity, only few are urged to write so as to influence others to good. If God has given you this gift, even in a small measure, cultivate it and use it."

And so, some of us have tried even though the odds were against us from the very beginning. I have often recalled the words of my 8th grade Sister, Sr. Jane de Chantal, as she told the class: "When you work for God's honor and glory, He always gives you an "A" for effort, even though those works prove to be unsuccessful." So be it!

Karol Wojtyla (alias John Paul II) put on quite a show as he stood on the steps of St. Peter's Basilica, addressing thousands of young people on Palm Sunday just passed. He told them that the human race was being threatened as never before because of the "electronic world of stupendous discoveries, splendid and yet threatening." He was, probably, referring to a nuclear war. Then he asks: "What will be the future of the human person?" He continues:

"Mankind's eternal spiritual cause has been manipulated and falsified. The world is now feeling the cosmic and apocalyptic shocks of the first disobedience to God."

No, John Paul II, the world is feeling the effects of that satanic-inspired Vatican Council II.

Always there is the little sprinkling of words which sound "traditional" to those who are mesmerized by his "charisma," but we are not impressed because we know that he is a blatant hypocrite.!

Who, might I be so bold to ask, "manipulated and falsified mankind's spiritual cause?" Who suppressed the teachings of the Council of Trent? Who were the manipulators and the false teachers who eliminated the Catholic teaching regarding the Passion and Death of Christ because said teaching bothered the consciences of the Jews who were allowed to be "advisors" at Vatican Council II, and received every favor for which they had asked? The Last Gospel, according to St. John, which was said at the end of every Mass was, also, removed very quickly, and for the same reason. Who took away the true Catholic catechisms from the hands of the little children, and who suppressed the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass, and the True Sacraments? Somebody did all this manipulating and falsifying, and we know the culprits. John Paul II, in spite of his babbling about "mankind's spiritual cause," is one of them.

In the April 8th issue of *U. S. News & World Report*, there is an article which tells us that a major statement is to be published soon regarding the unity of Catholics and Lutherans. The article states, as follows:

"This year, Lutherans and Catholics will publish a major statement reflecting progress toward settlement of disputes that date to the Reformation. Their churches are close to agreement that faith rather than good works, is the key to salvation, and are moving on to discuss another sticking point—the roles of Mary and the saints."

That is all, after the fact, of course. The "manipulators" and "falsifiers" have been doing business with every heretical, non-Catholic sect for years.

The following statement appeared in *L'Osservatore Romano*, Vatican newspaper on October 13, 1967, and I quote:

"Liturgical reform has taken a notable step forward on the path of ecumenism. It has come closer to the liturgical forms of the Lutheran Church."

Luther had his own "Formula Missae," and the "falsifiers" of Vatican Council II concocted their own "Novus Ordo" along the same lines.

In his writings, Luther has stated:

"When we have overthrown the Mass, we shall have overthrown the whole Papacy with it. For it is upon the Mass, as upon the rock, that the Papacy rests-with its monasteries, its bishoprics, its colleges, its altars, its ministers, and its doctrines. All these will fall when their sacrilegious and abominable Mass has crumbled into the dust....

"Yet in order to achieve this aim successfully and safely, it will be necessary to preserve some of the ceremonies of the ancient Mass for the weak-minded who might be scandalized by too sudden a change."

In my issue of July-August, 1973 ("Conversion on the Installment Plan") I quoted from the late Cardinal Heenan of London, England who wrote in his Pastoral Letter of October 12, 1969, as follows:

"Why does the Mass keep changing? Here is the answer. It would have been foolhardy to introduce all the changes at once. If all the changes had been introduced together, you would have been shocked."

So, the Lutheran Plan was followed very closely. Those of us who decided to take up our pens in the defense of the Mass, instead of abandoning it, were not referred to as being "weakminded." We were referred to as being "liturgical fanatics" by these traitors. The late Archbishop Dwyer referred to us as being such in his "Twin Circle" which he was running at the time. In the January 16, 1972 issue of the paper, he referred to us as being:

"Ultra-conservative liturgical fanatics who are fully prepared to denounce as heretical anything that postdates the Council of Trent."

And he was right—we were stubborn even in those days!

Cardinal Krol, of the Philadelphia Archdiocese, stated on nationwide television that we were the "right-wingers" who "insist on hanging onto the old baggage." The "old baggage" to which he referred is the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass.

In view of all this, it isn't surprising to us that Catholics have come to an agreement regarding salvation. It was Luther who said: "Keep the faith but sin well."

Two weeks before Christmas, 12983, John Paul II preached in a Lutheran church, and he sent a letter to the bishops of the Lutheran Church (Germany), praising Martin Luther. (U.S.A. Today, Nov. 11, 1983).

In that same article, *U.S.A. Today* it was stated that the Vatican was seriously considering lifting Luther's excommunication. Talk about madness! We have heretics who have already been excommunicated from the Catholic Church themselves, talking about lifting the excommunication of another heretic!

In the April 8, 1972 article of *U. S. News and World Report*, there is, also, a reference to Judaism as follows:

"Catholic ties with Judaism have improved so much that the American-Jewish Committee has launched a year-long program to commemorate (?) Progress since the Second Vatican Council of 1962-65. Among the changes, the council eliminated harsh reference (really the truth) in Catholic liturgy to Jewish blame for Christ's death."

And so it goes! The "manipulators" of Vatican Council II have a lot of heretical buddies, and as the Irish say, "but the faith is not in them."

In closing, I will quote from Pope St. Gregory the Great *Parables of the Gospel*, wherein he speaks of the Last Judgment and the punishment that will be given to the bad Shepherds:

"We shall see then the shepherds of God's flock, with the numbers of souls which they bring after them to God by the force of their instruction. When, on that day, all the shepherds lead their flocks to the Eternal Shepherd, what shall we say, who return miserably empty-handed from our trading: we who bear the name of pastors and cannot show the sheep which have fed upon our doctrine? Here we are called pastors, but there we shall have no flock."

And then this great Pope continues:

"But, if we neglect the sheep, does God therefore abandon them? By no means. He, Himself, leads them to pasture, as He promised through His prophet Ezechiel; for He instructs all those whom He has predestined to eternal life, and hastens their steps by the spur of suffering and contrition of heart."

Pray much, and God bless all of you!

Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711

Note: *The MASS AND THE PASSION OF CHRIST* is taken from an old prayerbook left to me by my Irish mother. There is no longer the cover and first three pages, but it was imprimatured in 1924:

Postshumous script:

Mary R. Lejeune died in 1986 at the age of 70.

Quite possibly this is her last Letter.

May the souls of the Faithful Departed rest in peace. Amen.

Marilyn Remmel, editor of Lejeune Letters 2003 16650-A Lake Circle Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005 jerilyn@execpc.com, 262-780-1190

THE MASS AND THE PASSION OF CHRIST

The Priest uncovers the Chalice	Jesus is stripped of His garments
At the Offertory	Jesus is scourged
The Priest offers the Chalice	Jesus is crowned with thorns
The Priest washes his fingers	Pilate washes his hands
At the Orate Fratres	Pilate says to the Jews, "Behold the Man"
At the Preface	Jesus is condemned to death
At the Memento for the living	
At the Communicantes	Veronica wipes the face of Jesus with a linen cloth
The Priest makes the sign of the cross over the	chaliceJesus is nailed to the Cross
At the elevation of the Host	The Cross of Jesus elevated between heaven, earth
	The Blood of Jesus flows from His Wounds
At the Memento for the Dead	
At the Novis Quoque Peccatoribus	The conversion of the thief
At the Pater Noste	
At the Division of the Host	Jesus expires on the Cross
The priest puts a particle of the Host into the ChaliceThe Soul of Jesus descends into Limbo	
The Agnus Dei	
At the Communion	
At the Ablution	Jesus is embalmed
After the Communion	
At the Dominus Vobiscum	
	Jesus converses for forty days with His disciples
The Last Dominus Vobiscum	
At the Priest's Blessing	The descent of the Holy Ghost upon the Apostles.

(Taken from Key of Heaven, 1924)

March-April, 1985 Mary R. Lejeune 809 Lehigh Road Newark, Delaware 19711

The Sword of Truth ~ The Sword of Truth~ The Sword of Truth ~ The Sword of Postshumous script:

Mary R. Lejeune died in 1986 at the age of 70.

Quite possibly this is her last Letter.

May the souls of the Faithful Departed, through the Mercy of God, rest in peace. Amen.

Marilyn Remmel, editor of Lejeune Letters 2003 16650-A Lake Circle Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005 jerilyn@execpc.com, 262-780-1190